Conditions of Sale

All of the sales are arm's-length transactions between knowledgeable buyers and sellers; however, Sale 5 was a quick sale including tax incentives. The remaining sales had typical market motivations. As noted above, there was some question as to whether Sale 4 was a market-rate transaction at the time, because Granite was to remain in the deal as a contract builder. However, those doubts were erased by the subsequent sale of other lots in the same project to McKinley Capital at the same price. Furthermore, while Granite is under pressure from its lender, the lots were widely marketed and people close to the deals said these were the highest prices they could get in today's market. Therefore, no conditions of sale adjustments have been made to these sales.

Sale 5 was a quick sale in which the seller was motivated to close escrow by year-end for tax reasons. As a result, the property had only limited exposure to the market. After adjusting for the other elements of comparison below, an upward adjustment of \$5,000 is indicated for the unusual conditions of sale.

Sale Date/Market Conditions

This adjustment is sometimes referred to as a time of sale adjustment and is used to reflect any appreciation or depreciation in value over time. While the passage of time is not the cause of the adjustment, the market conditions may shift over time and create a need for an adjustment. Generally, since the time the comparables sales transacted, values may have appreciated or depreciated due to inflation and deflation and/or investors perceptions of market conditions may have changed.

Sales 1 through 6 reflect relatively recent sales while Sales 7, 8 and 9 are dated transactions. Sales 1 through 4 reflect sales dates between March and May 2008 and required no adjustment for this item. However, as evidenced from both the information presented in the Housing Market Overview and above that market conditions have deteriorated significantly over the past year. Pairing the finished lot cost of Sale 6 with the finished lot costs of Sales 1 through 4, after accounting for location indicates a downward time adjustment of approximately 20% for having closed in November 2007. We have applied a similar adjustment to Sale 5.

Sales 7, 8 and 9 reflect older dates of sale occurring in early to mid 2006. According to information obtained from Park Place Partners, a local residential land brokerage firm, finished lot values have declined in excess of 40% in the subject market area over the past two years. We feel that the indicated decline is appropriate based upon purchase prices being negotiated for bulk lot purchases as well as the decline in home prices over the past two years.

Appraisal of Roripaugh Ranch, Phase II. Temecula, CA

We have included a 45% downward time of sale adjustment to Sales 7, 8 and 9.

Location

The subject property and Sales 1 and 2 are located in the Temecula area of Riverside County while the remaining comparable sales are located in Menifee, Moreno Valley, Winchester, Woodcrest, Romoland and Hemet. Menifee, Moreno Valley, Winchester, Hemet and Romoland are considered inferior to Temecula while Woodcrest is superior.

In order to adjust for differences in location we have reviewed average overall pricing and average per square foot pricing in each area as compiled by DataQuick, a real estate research firm and published in the Los Angeles Times. We have also reviewed averaging pricing for each area as published within Residential Trends. We have additionally paired Sales 3 through 6 after accounting for time of sale and lot size with Sales 1 and 2.

After an analysis of pricing in the various areas and our paired analysis, we have made upward adjustments of 30% for Menifee, Moreno Valley, Winchester and Romoland. An upward adjustment of 40% was made to Sale 9 for its inferior Hemet location. A downward adjustment of 20% was made to Sale 7 for its superior Woodcrest location.

Lot Size

Minimum lot sizes for Comparable Sales 1 through 6 ranges from 5,000 square feet to 7,200 square feet, compared with 3,150 to 6,000 square feet for the subject Planning Areas 14, 16, 18 and 23. We have used the *minimum*, rather than the *average* lot size in our analysis; builders tend to focus on the minimum size because it dictates the size and shape of the homes they can build. The impact of lot size differences on value has declined over the past year as homebuilders focus increasingly on value and affordability. We have reflected this trend by using an adjustment of just \$2.50 per square foot for lot size differences in our analyses of Planning Areas 14, 16, 18 and 23.

Planning Area 20 is comprised of 21,780-square foot minimum size lots. Sales 7, 8 and 9 were utilized in this analysis and reflect minimum lot sizes ranging from 20,000 to 43,560 square feet. Sale 7 has minimum one acre lots while Sales 8 and 9 have minimum half acre lots. While larger lots are typically more desirable than smaller lots, in this market, it is easier to sell smaller, less expensive homes and builders are reluctant to place a premium on larger lots, especially since a half acre lot can easily accommodate the same size home. We have therefore made only a small qualitative downward adjustment of \$10,000 to Sale 7 for its larger lots.

Appraisal of Roripaugh Ranch, Phase II, Temecula, CA

Lot Premiums

The subject is situated in an area of undulating terrain similar to the comparable land sales. Additionally, the subject is considered to have similar lot premium potential for oversized, corner and cul-de-sac lots as the comparable sales. We have not included an adjustment for this item.

Tract Size (Number of Lots)

The comparables involve tracts containing from 44 to 379 lots, while the subject planning areas contain 24 to 164 lots. In our experience, few buyers discount tracts of 150 lots or less, and only Sale 6 (with 379 lots) is large enough to require adjustment. Pairing Sale 6 with Sales 1 through 5 indicates an adjustment of \$5,000 per lot is warranted.

Conclusions

Table 10A reflects adjustments for the 3,150-square foot lots (Planning Area 14). The six sales presented reflect prices ranging from \$73,000 to \$91,000 per finished lot. All six sales include lots larger than the subject lots requiring downward adjustment. Sales 3 through 6 required upward location adjustments. Sales 5 and 6 also required downward time of sale adjustments. Sales 1 and 2 represent recent bulk lot sales within the subject Roripaugh Ranch community and are given greatest weight in this analysis.

After adjustments, the sales indicate a value range from \$84,775 to \$87,635 per finished lot. Giving most weight to Sales 1 and 2 we have concluded with an estimated finished lot value of \$86,500 for the 3,150-square foot subject lots.

Table 10B reflects adjustments for the 4,000-square foot lots (Planning Area 23). Sales 1 through 6 were presented in our analysis of the Planning Area 23 and include lot sizes ranging from 5,000 to 7,200 square feet with finished lot prices ranging from \$73,000 to \$91,000. As with the prior analysis, all of the comparable sales required downward adjustments for lot size. Sales 3 through 6 were adjusted upwards for location. Sales 5 and 6 were adjusted downward for time of sale. After adjustments for market conditions, location and lot size the indicated finished lot value range for Planning Area 23 was from \$86,900 to \$89,760 per finished lot. Giving most consideration to Sales 1 and 2, we have concluded with an estimated finished lot value of \$88,500 for the 4,000-square foot subject lots.

Table 10C reflects adjustments for Planning Area 16 which is comprised of 5,000-square foot lots. Sales 1 through 6 were presented in our analysis of Planning Area 16 and reflect lot sizes ranging from 5,000 to 7,200 square feet with finished lot prices ranging from \$73,000 to \$91,000. Sales 1 and 2 represent bulk lot sales of similar size lots while Sales 3 through 6 include larger lots when to compared to Planning Area 16. Similar adjustments have been made for location and time of sale as the previous analyses. Giving most weight to Sales 1 and 2, we have estimated a value of \$91,000 per finished lot for Planning Area 16 utilizing the sales comparison approach.

The same six sales have been utilized in our analysis of Planning Area 18 (6,000-square foot lots). The comparable sales include minimum lot sizes ranging from 5,000 and 7,200 square feet. Sales 1 and 2 represent smaller lots in comparison to Planning Area 18 while Sales 3 through 6 feature larger lots. Sales 3 through 6 required upward location adjustments. Sales 5 and 6 required downward time of sale adjustments. Giving most consideration to sales 1 and 2, we have concluded with an estimated value of \$93,500 for the 6,000-square foot subject lots. The analysis for Planning Area 18 is summarized in Table 10D.

Table 10E reflects adjustments for Planning Area 20 which is comprised of 21,780-square foot lots. Sales 7 through 9 were presented in our analysis of the 21,780-square foot lots and reflect lot sizes ranging from 20,000 to 43,560 square feet with finished lot prices ranging from \$165,000 to \$335,000. Sales 8 and 9 represent sales of similar size lots when compared to Planning Area 20 while Sale 7 consists of larger lots. All three sales required downward time of sale adjustments. Sale 7 required a downward location adjustment while Sales 8 and 9 required upward location adjustments. After adjustments for market conditions, location and size the indicated finished lot value range for Planning Area 20 was from \$127,000 to \$156,000. Giving consideration to all three sales, we have estimated a finished lot of \$150,000 per finished lot for Planning Area 20 under the sales comparison approach.

The sales comparison approach adjustments are summarized in the previous Tables 10A through 10E, and resulted in the following rounded finished lot value indications:

Planning Area	Lot Size (SF)	No. of Lots	Value/Lot
14	3,150	77	\$86,500
23 '	4,000	51	\$88,500
16	5,000	121	\$91,000
18	6,000	121	\$93,500
20	21,780	29	\$150,000

DEVELOPMENTAL APPROACH

We have employed the Developmental Approach as an alternative way of valuing the individual subject planning areas. Again, each planning area was valued assuming completion to a finished lot status. In the Developmental Approach, sale prices and absorption rates are estimated for the homes likely to be built within the development. From the gross sale revenues, all hard and soft construction and sale costs are deducted. The resulting cash flows are then discounted to a present value that is indicative of the price a builder would pay for the lots in bulk.

We have estimated a value for each lot size category and selected Planning Areas 14, 16, 18, 20 and 24 utilizing the Developmental Approach. These values are then compared to the values estimated in the Sales Comparison Approach, and the estimates are reconciled.

The first step in this approach is to derive reasonable estimates of the sizes and prices of the homes to be built within each planning area. The borrower is a master developer and proposes to complete the subject lots to a blue-topped condition then sell the lots to merchant homebuilders. We have assumed that the merchant builders will construct homes consistent with current offerings in the Temecula and surrounding Southwest Riverside County market area and believed to represent the highest and best use of the subject lots. Retail values for the homes are estimated based on the results of our survey, presented below. Home construction, marketing/sales, and carrying costs have been estimated using budgets and proformas of other projects in the area that we have recently appraised.

The comparable project data is summarized in the previous Table 7 and is organized by project. The projects are discussed briefly below, and a picture of each is included in the Addenda.

Comparable Projects

All of the projects discussed below are located in the subject's Temecula and surrounding Southwest Riverside County market area.

Project Number 1 - Charleston: This project is situated approximately four miles southwest of the subject within the Harveston planned community. Meritage Homes opened this 106-home development in January 2006. To date, 86 homes have been released with 78 homes sold reflecting an absorption rate of 3.4 home sales per month.

Four floor plans are offered with 2-car attached garage as standard. The minimum lot size for this project is 4,000 square feet. The floor plans range in size from 1,780 to 2,181 square feet with base prices ranging from \$300,440 to \$352,360. Based upon previous surveys home prices

Appraisal of Raripaugh Ranch, Phase II. Temecula, CA

Michael Frauenthal & Associates, Inc.

within Charleston have declined approximately 30%, plus Meritage Homes is now offering a \$30,000 incentive towards closing costs and upgrades. Effective base prices have been estimated to range from \$270,440 to \$322,360. Lot premiums were reported to range from \$2,000 to \$20,000. This project has an effective tax rate of approximately 1.9%. HOA fees are \$137 per home per month.

Project 2 refers to Stratford by Centex Homes, a 106-unit development located along Primrose Avenue, east of Pechanga Parkway in the Redhawk community approximately eight miles southwest of the subject. Stratford features four plans ranging in living area from 2,204 to 2,750 square feet situated on minimum lots of 4,500 square feet. Current base prices range from \$337,990 to \$366,990. The developer offers up to \$10,000 in closing costs as an incentive to purchase a home. According to the sales agent, pre-marketing began in a sales trailer several months ago; however, the developer was not aggressively marketing the project. There was no advertising and minimal signage identifying the development. The agent reported that a typical marketing effort began in August 2007 and 31 homes have sold. The effective tax rate is anticipated to be approximately 1.4%. Lot premiums were reported to be minimal.

Project 3, Cottonwood, is a 133-unit development by Standard Pacific Homes and is located east of Pechanga Parkway, south of Wolf Valley Road, approximately seven and one-half miles southwest of the subject in the Wolf Creek community. Cottonwood offers three plans measuring 2,517 to 3,024 square feet on minimum lots of 5,500 square feet. Base prices range from \$363,835 to \$409,330, with up to \$65,000 in incentives offered towards closing costs and upgrades. The sales agent reported small lot premiums, generally less than \$10,000. To date, 91 of the 133 proposed homes have sold. The effective tax rate is approximately 1.7%.

Project 4 refers to Hemingway by Centex Homes, located along Anza Road, east of Peppercorn Drive in the Redhawk community approximately seven miles southwest of the subject. Hemingway includes 108 homes of which 45 have sold since August 2007. The project features four plans ranging in living area from 2,916 to 3,393 square feet situated on minimum size lots of 5,000 square feet. Current base prices range from \$410,990 to \$440,990. The developer offers up to \$10,000 in closing costs as an incentive to purchase a home. The effective tax rate is anticipated to be approximately 1.4%. Lot premiums generally range up to \$40,000 for golf course and city views.

Project 5 refers to Sycamore, a 126-unit development by Woodside Homes located east of Pechanga Parkway, south of Wolf Valley Road seven and one-half miles southwest of the subject in the Wolf Creek community. The builder offers three plans ranging in size from 2,882 to 3,133 square feet on minimum lots of 5,500 square feet. Current base prices range from \$394,990 to \$425,790. The developer offers up to \$40,000 towards closing costs and upgrades as an incentive to buy a home.

Appraisal of Roripaugh Ranch. Phase II, Temecula, CA

The project has registered 37 sales since opening in November 2006. Lot premiums are generally around \$5,000. The effective tax rate is about 1.7%.

Project 6 refers to Ironwood, a 133-home project by Woodside Homes located within the Wolf Creek community along Wolf Creek Drive, south of Wolf Valley Road about seven and one-half miles southwest of the subject. Ironwood offers three floor plans containing 2,898 to 3,293 square feet of living area. The minimum lot size is 6,500 square feet. Base prices range from \$417,990 to \$445,990 with the developer offering \$45,000 in incentives. This project opened in July 2006 and 44 homes have sold. Lot premiums were not reported. The effective tax rate is approximately 1.7% and HOA fees are \$44 per home per month.

Project 7 refers to Stonebriar, a Standard Pacific Homes development located along Wolf Creek Drive, south of Wolf Valley Road seven and one-half miles southwest of the subject in the Wolf Creek community. The builder offers three plans ranging in size from 2,928 to 3,605 square feet on minimum lots of 7,000 square feet. Current base prices range from \$401,111 to \$468,511. The developer offers up to \$15,000 for closing costs as an incentive to buy a home plus all available homes include \$18,000 in upgraded flooring. The project has registered 55 sales since opening in September 2006. Lot premiums were not reported. The effective tax rate is about 1.8% while HOA fees are \$43 per home per month.

Project 8 refers to *Ruffino*, a 131-home McMillin Home project situated in the Morgan Hill community approximately five and one-half miles south of the subject along Butterfield Stage Road, south of Morgan Hill Drive. The project offers homes ranging in size from 2,774 to 3,650 square feet on 7,000-square foot lots. The effective tax rate is 1.8% with HOA fees of \$92 per home per month. Since opening in September 2005, McMillin Homes has sold 71 homes within the Ruffino development. Current base prices range from \$424,990 to \$481,990, with \$10,000 towards closing cost and upgrades incentive.

Project 9 refers to Valdemosa, a KB Home project located along Murrieta Home Springs Road, west of Pourroy Road, just west of the subject. Valdemosa offers three plans measuring 2,675 to 3,586 square feet on minimum lots of 8,500 square feet. Base prices currently range from \$329,990 to \$409,990, with a \$10,000 incentive offered towards closing costs. Lot premiums range up to about \$15,000. To date, 75 of the 79 homes have sold. The effective tax rate is approximately 1.7%. HOA fees associated with this development were reported at \$77 per home per month.

Project 10 refers to **Redwood**, which is located within the Wolf Creek community along Wolf Creek Drive, south of Wolf Valley Road about seven and one-half miles southwest of the subject. This 159-home Standard Pacific Homes development offers four floor plans containing 3,322 to

Appraisal of Roripaugh Ranch, Phase II, Temecula, CA

4,519 square feet of living area. The minimum lot size is 8,000 square feet. Base prices range from \$556,900 to \$610,900 with the developer offering \$65,000 to \$85,000 in incentives. This project opened in February 2006 and 59 homes have sold. Lot premiums were not reported. The effective tax rate is approximately 1.8% and HOA fees are \$43 per home per month.

Project 11 refers to **The Ranches II**, a Wesco Homes project located approximately 10 miles northwest of the subject along Wesley Street, northeast of Palomar Street in the community of Wildomar. Wesco Homes began marketing this 26-home project in May 2006. To date, 23 homes have been sold indicating absorption for this project is 1.0 home sale per month.

This project originally included six floor plans with one and two-story designs ranging in living area from 2,617 to 3,827 square feet. The homes are constructed on 20,000-square foot minimum size lots and included 3 & 4-car garages as standard. There are currently only two production homes remaining and one model home. Asking prices for the two remaining homes were \$559,990 and \$569,990 with incentives of \$20,000 being offered. The sale agent reported that the developer is now accepting offers on the remaining homes and anticipates selling prices of \$450,000 and \$500,000. The effective tax rate is approximately 1.1%. The HOA fees for homes within this project are \$61 per home per month.

The sale agent reported that The Ranches II originally included 33 lots and the developer has discontinued construction. Two of the remaining vacant lots have been recently sold for \$150,000 and \$175,000.

Project 12 refers to *Gallery Oaks*, a 32-home Gallery Homes project situated in Menifee approximately eight miles northwest of the subject along Murrieta Road, north of Scott Road. Gallery Homes opened this 32-home development in July 2007. To date, only three homes have sold reflecting an absorption rate of 0.3 sales per month.

Three floor plans are offered with 3 & 4-car attached garages as standard. The minimum lot size for this project is 43,560 square feet. The floor plans range in living area from 3,154 to 4,240 square feet with base prices ranging from \$529,990 to \$629,990. The sales agent reported incentives averaging approximately \$40,000. This project has an effective tax rate of approximately 1.2% plus HOA fees of \$65 per home per month.

Project 13 refers to *Calder Ranch*, a Capital Pacific Homes project located approximately eight miles northwest of the subject at the southwest corner of Murrieta and Craig Roads. Capital Pacific Homes opened this 70-home project in December 2007. At the time of our survey 17 homes had been released with 10 homes being sold. The indicated absorption rate is 2.0 sales per month.

Four floor plans are offered ranging in living area from 2,986 to 4,247 square feet. The homes are situated on 1-acre lots and include three and four-car attached garages as standard. The reported base prices range from \$564,990 to \$654,990. The sales agent would not disclose incentives currently being offered or discuss premiums. This project has an effective tax rate of approximately 1.7% plus an HOA fee of \$137 per home per month.

Market Survey Summary And Conclusions

The projects surveyed offer wide range in new housing product types including entry level, various levels of move-up housing. Homes in the general market area range in price from the high \$200,000s price for an entry level home to the mid-\$600,000s for a move-up home. Entry-level homes generally range in living area from 1,800 to 2,400 square feet and reflect effective base prices ranging from \$270,440 to \$332,990. Move-up homes range in living area from approximately 2,500 to 4,500 square feet with effective base prices ranging from \$298,835 to \$654,990.

Average Unit Size

The subject planning areas are anticipated to be developed with various product types ranging from entry level to high-end move-up home development. The following paragraphs analyze the product currently being offered and what we feel is the most likely product type to be developed within each planning area.

Entry-level housing is offered within Projects 1 and 2. All four floor plans offered within Project 1 could be classified as entry-level while Plans 1 and 2 within Project 2 can be classified as entry-level. Entry-level detached housing typically consists of two-story homes containing three to four bedrooms on lots of 4,500 square feet or less. The unit size distribution for the entry-level housing projects presented is summarized in the following table.

Unit Size	No. Units	% Units
<2,000 SF	2	33.3%
2,000 - 2,250 SF	3	50.0%
> 2,250 SF	11	16.7%
Total	6	100%

As the table reflects, almost 85% of the entry-level homes are smaller than 2,250 square feet and only about 15% are larger than 2,250 square feet. The average entry-level home size is approximately 2,079 square feet. Based upon the average home size and the high percentage of offered product being less than 2,250-square foot size range, we have estimated an average home

size of 1,850 square feet for Planning Area 14. Because of Planning Area 23's larger minimum lot size of 4,000 square feet in comparison to Planning Area 14's 3,150-square foot lots we have estimated a larger home size of 2,200 square feet.

Projects 4 through 10 offer detached housing considered most likely for Planning Areas 16 and 18. These projects offer homes targeted towards low to mid-level move-up buyers. Projects 2 through 5 represent low-level move-up homes on 5,000 and 5,500-square foot lots. Projects 6 through 8 offer mid-level move-up homes situated on 6,000 and 7,200 square foot lots. The unit size distribution for Projects 3 through 8 is summarized in the following table.

Unit Size	No. Units	% Units
<2,750	2	9.5%
2,750 - 2,999 SF	8	38.1%
3,000 - 3,249 SF	4	19.0%
3,250 - 3,500 SF	5	23.8%
> 3,500 SF	2	9.5%_
Total	21	99.9%

As the table reflects, 81% of the low to mid-level move-up homes range in size from 2,750 to 3,500 square feet of living area. The average unit size is approximately 3,066 square feet generally consisting of two-story designs with three to five bedrooms. Based upon the average unit size for Projects 2 through 5, we have estimated an average unit size of 2,950 square feet for Planning Area 16. Because of Planning Area 18's larger lot size of 6,000 square feet in comparison to Planning Area 16's 5,000-square foot lots we have estimated a larger home size of 3,200 square feet.

Projects 11 through 13 offer high end move-up homes consistent with the product most likely intended for Planning Area 20. These projects offer detached homes on minimum lot sizes ranging from one-half to one acre. The unit size distribution for Projects 11 through 13 is summarized in the following table.

Unit Size	No. Units	% Units
<3,000 SF	2	22.2%
3,000 - 3,499 SF	4	44.4%
3,500 - 4,000 SF	i	11.1%
> 4,000 SF	2	22.2%
Total	9	99.9%

Appraisal of Roripaugh Runch, Phase II, Temecula, CA

As the table reflects about 55% of the homes range between 3,000 and 4,000 square feet while 22% are less than 3,000 square feet and 22% are larger than 4,000 square feet. The average home size is approximately 3,481 square feet. We have estimated an average home size of 3,500 square feet for Planning Area 20.

Average Base Price

As indicated above, entry-level housing generally sells at prices between \$270,000 and \$335,000, or \$143 to \$157 per square foot of living area. Only Project 1 offers homes of less than 2,000 square feet with prices ranging from about \$270,000 to \$303,000, or \$152 to \$157 per square foot of living area. Assuming an average home size of approximately 1,850 square feet, we have estimated an average effective home price of \$290,000 for Planning Area 14, including premiums.

Projects 1 and 2 offer homes of approximately 2,200 square feet with prices ranging from about \$322,000 to \$328,000, or \$148 to \$149 per square foot of living area. Assuming an average unit size of approximately 2,200 square feet, we have estimated an average effective home price of \$330,000 for Planning Area 24, including premiums.

Projects 3 through 8 offer detached housing considered most likely for Planning Area 16 with home prices ranging from about \$300,000 to \$472,000, or \$114 to \$150 per square foot of living area. Projects 3, 4 and 5 are considered most similar, offering homes on similar size lots. Project 3 offers a 3,024 square foot home at an effective base price of \$114 per square foot while Project 4 offers a home of 2,916 square feet at an effective base price of \$138 per square foot. Project 5 offers a 2,937-square foot home for an effective price of \$126 per square foot. We feel an average price of \$397,500, including premiums, is reasonable for the anticipated homes within Planning Area 16.

Planning Area 18 is anticipated to be developed with homes averaging approximately 3,200 square feet. Project 6 offers a floor plan of 3,293 square feet with effective base price of approximately \$400,000, or \$122 per square foot of living area. Projects 7 and 8 offer homes of 3,357 and 3,311 square feet, respectively. Effective base prices for these homes are \$431,000 and \$438,000, respectively reflecting prices of \$128 and \$135 per square foot. We have estimated an average price of \$425,000 for Planning Area 18.

Projects 11 through 12 are considered to offer detached housing consistent with the product most likely intended for Planning Area 20. These projects offer detached homes with effective base prices ranging from \$490,000 to \$655,000, or \$139 to \$190 per square foot of living area. We

Appraisal of Roripaugh Ranch, Phase II, Temecula, CA

have assumed an average home size of approximately 3,500 square feet for Planning Area 20. Projects 12 and 13 offer floor plans relatively similar in size with prices ranging from \$520,000 to \$565,000, or \$154 to \$162 per square foot of living area. Planning Area 20 consists of minimum half acre lots similar to Project 12 while Project 13 features one-acre lots. Giving more weight to Project 12 because of the more similar lot size, we have estimated a price of \$550,000 for Planning Area 20, including premiums.

Other Model Assumptions

Besides the average home sizes and values estimated above, other assumptions underlying the Developmental Approach are summarized below:

Months/Period: 3, indicating a quarter-by-quarter analysis

First Closings: Build times for entry-level and low/mid-level move-up homes similar to those anticipated for Planning Areas 14, 16, 18 and 23 are typically 5 to 6 months. Therefore, we have anticipated delivery within these projects in the second period of our developmental analyses. High-end move-up homes generally require 6 to 9 months construction and we have scheduled the first delivery within Planning Area 20 in the third quarter.

Pre-Sales: These are units that sell while the first homes are still under construction and close immediately upon completion. We have assumed pre-sales equal to two months' sales.

Absorption: Absorption rates at the projects surveyed are included within Table 7 and reflect absorption rates ranging from 0.3 to 4.0 sales per project per month. Generally speaking homes targeted towards entry level buyers are achieving the strongest absorption rates. We have assumed absorption rates of 3.0 sales per month for the entry level, low end move-up and mid-range move-up homes (Planning Areas 14, 16 and 23). An absorption rate of 2.0 sales per month was used for Planning Area 18 while an absorption rate of 1.0 sale per month was assumed for Planning Area 20.

(Note: the absorption rates presented in Table 7 are sales rates, not closing rates. Sales rates are used as a proxy for closing rates in our analysis because they are less prone to sharp fluctuations over time. In the long run, a project's sales rate and closing rate will converge.)

Total Units: The number of lots utilized within each analysis is consistent with the information provided by the developer.

Average Sale Price: As estimated above

Annual Appreciation: We have not included appreciation as pricing has seemed to stabilize in the subject market area.

Marketing and Sales: This category includes advertising, model upgrades, model and sales office maintenance, sales commissions, and closing costs. The market range generally varies from 5.0% to 7.0% of sales revenues, and depends largely on the number of units, the level of advertising, and the market position of the homes. (All else being equal, more expensive homes tend to require larger marketing budgets.) The subject is a mid-sized project targeting the middle market, and should experience a mid-range expense. We have estimated a marketing and sales expense equal to 6.0% of sales revenues.

General and Administrative: This item, often described as "overhead" or a management fee, ranges from 2.5% to 4.0% of sales revenues, with 3.0% being the most common expense. We have used this amount in the model.

Tax Rate: We have used an effective tax rate of 2.0%, which is based upon discussions with the master developer.

Additional Assessments/Unit: As discussed in the Taxes and Assessments section, the developer is proposing a CFD; however, details of the bond issue have not been finalized. Therefore, the above tax rate includes the anticipated special assessments for the CFD.

Model Lease Payments: None (The model units are assumed to be owned, not leased.)

Homeowners' Association Fee (HOA): We have estimated a homeowner's association of \$125 per home per month.

Average Units Per Phase: This input is set to equal 8 homes per phase.

Total Site Costs: Zero, for the single-family planning areas, as these analyses assume finished lots to begin with.

Total Construction Costs: The subject Neighborhoods are anticipated to be developed with a wide array of housing types including entry-level to luxury executive homes. The developer has not provided home construction costs. We have estimated construction costs for each product type based upon construction costs for similar. Construction costs,

including direct and indirect expenses for new housing tracts in the Southern California area we have recently appraised are summarized below:

Location	Product Type	Avg. Home Size	Cost/SF
Chula Vista	SFR	1,698	\$75.63
Wildomar	SFR	2,334	\$63.47
Temecula	SFR	2,434	\$66.56
Wildomar	SFR	3,024	\$57.81
Wildomar	SFR	3,455	\$58.33
Menifee	SFR	3,551	\$77.02
Menifee	SFR	3,786	\$78.84
Murrieta	SFR	4,353	\$73.00
	Chula Vista Wildomar Temecula Wildomar Wildomar Menifee Menifee	Chula Vista SFR Wildomar SFR Temecula SFR Wildomar SFR Wildomar SFR Menifee SFR Menifee SFR	Chula Vista SFR 1,698 Wildomar SFR 2,334 Temecula SFR 2,434 Wildomar SFR 3,024 Wildomar SFR 3,455 Menifee SFR 3,551 Menifee SFR 3,786

The comparable projects presented above generally indicate a direct and indirect cost estimate of \$58 to \$76 per square foot of living area for entry level to move-up homes. We have assumed a hypothetical average home size of 1,850 square feet for Planning Area 14. Based upon the comparables, we have estimated an average cost of \$70.00 per square foot resulting in an overall construction cost estimate of \$9,970,000 for Planning Area 14.

Planning Area 23 is anticipated for development with homes averaging about 2,200 square feet. We have estimated a construction cost of \$70.00 per square foot of living area resulting in an overall cost estimate of \$10,545,000 (rounded) for Planning Area 23. Planning Areas 16 and 18 are anticipated for development with detached homes averaging 2,950 and 3,200 square feet, respectively. We have estimated construction costs of \$62.50 and \$60.00 per square foot, or \$22,310,000 (rounded) and \$23,230,000, respectively.

Planning Area 20 will most likely be developed with high-end move-up homes. Construction Cost Comparables 6, 7 and 8 represent luxury homes reflecting construction costs ranging from \$73 to \$79 per square foot of living area. We have assumed a construction cost less than luxury homes but above other homes similar in size. We have used a cost of \$65 per square foot of living area, or \$6,600,000 for Planning Area 20.

Annual Cost Inflation: We have not included inflation in this analysis.

Loan Parameters: We have assumed unleveraged cash flows.

Developer's Profit: In projects the size of the subject, and at current low home sales rates, the IRR requirement, and not the gross profit margin, effectively determines how much, a buyer will pay for the lots. We have therefore used a single-rate model, with builder profit reflected entirely in the discount rate, discussed below.

Discount Rate: The net cash flows in the developmental model are discounted to provide a required rate of return to the builder. To estimate an appropriate yield rate, we consulted builders and equity partners active in the local market, as well as published surveys. This information is summarized in the following table:

			Gross	Unleveraged
No.	Firm	Contact Person	Margin	Yields
1	Standard Pacific	Susan Rook	14-15%	High teens to mid 20%s
2	RealtyRates.com™ - California	(Web site)	– ,	21%
3	RealtyRates.com TM - National	(Web site)	****	25%
4	WestReef	Tad Springer		
5	Lennar	Brian Cresap		Mid-20%s
6	Institutional Housing Partners	Blaine Peterson	12%	22%-25%
7	Korpacz Investor Survey*	(Publication)		18%*
8	Trimark Pacific	Lawrence Trevino	12%	.
9	O'Donnell-Atkins	Steve Jones	12%	· —
10	Park Place Partners	Randy Coe	14%	22%
*Inclu	des residential, commercial, and ind	lustrial developers.		

Unleveraged proforma yield rates range from the high teens to the mid-20%s. These are up from 2005, when many respondents reported acceptable rates of return in the mid-to-high teens. This is due partly to general increases in interest rates, but mostly to changing perceptions about the risk of developing housing in the current market. The subject is located in Temecula, which has been hit hard by the housing market slowdown. At the same time, the average sale price and sales rate used in the model are considered realistic for the present market. Considering both market-wide and project-specific influences, we have adopted an annual discount rate of 20% for purposes of our analysis.

Value For Tax Assessment: For the purpose of estimating taxes during the development period, we have assumed that the lots would be purchased and assessed at the value indicated by the analysis, per Proposition 13.

Construction Costs per Period: We have assumed construction periods consistent with the sell-off periods, allowing a lag between the final stage of construction and the last units being sold.

The developmental analyses are contained in the following Tables 11A through 11E, and resulted in the following rounded finished lot value indications:

Planning Area	Lot Size (SF)	No. of Lots	Value/Lot
14	3,150	77	\$93,000
23	4,000	51	\$104,000
16	5,000	121	\$109,000
18	6,000	121	\$97,000
20	21,780	29	\$170,000

Finished Site Value Reconciliation

We have estimated the finished lot value of each lot category under the Sales Comparison and Developmental Approach. The two approaches result in the following finished lot value indications:

Planning Area	Lot Size (SF)	Sales Comparison	Developmental
14	3,150	\$86,500	\$93,000
23	4,000	\$88,500	\$104,000
16	5,000	\$91,000	\$109,000
18	6,000	\$93,500	\$97,000
20	21,780	\$150,000	\$170,000

In the Sales Comparison Approach, we valued the lots by comparing them with recent sales in the area. Applying adjustments for a relatively small number of items, including sale date/market conditions, location, and lot size, greatly narrowed the range in values. The sales used in this approach are recent and comparable, and the resulting value indications are considered reliable.

For the Developmental Approach, we surveyed new housing projects in the subject Temecula and surrounding market area. For each project, we estimated the average base price, and sales rate for the proposed homes, based on an analysis of the homes currently being sold in the area. Lot premiums were included, yielding an average sale price for each project. We additionally estimated home construction costs on comparable projects in the area.

Other model assumptions, including carry costs, profit requirements and financing, were based on data in our files from other projects we have appraised and on information gathered by our firm. Sufficient information was obtained from these sources to provide credible value indications for the subject property under the Developmental Approach.

TABLE 11A DEVELOPMENTAL APPROACH ASSUMPTIONS & CONCLUSIONS RORIPAUGH RANCH, PHASE II Planning Area 14 (3,150 SF)

	111111111111111111111111111111111111111			····
Months Per Period	31	Developme	ent Costs Per	Period
Closings Begin Period	2 '			
Presales	6.0	<u>Period</u>	Site Costs	<u>Construction</u>
Absorption (Units/Month)	3.0	. 1	0.00%	11.11%
Total Units	77′	2	0.00%	11.11%
Average Sale Price	\$290,000	3	0.00%	· 11.11%
Annual Appreciation	0.00%	4	0.00%	11.11%
Beginning Period	1	5	0.00%	11.11%
Sales & Marketing	6.00%	6	0.00%	11.11%
General & Administrative	3.00%	7	0.00%	11.11%
Tax Rate	2.00000%	8	0.00%	11.11%
Additional Assesmts./Unit/Yr.	\$0	9	0.00%	_. 11.11%
HOA Fee (Per unit/mo.)	\$125 ·	10	0.00%	0.00%
Average Units/Phase	8.	11	0.00%	0.00%
Site Costs (Current \$)	\$0	12	0.00%	0.00%
Construction Costs (Current \$)	\$9,970,000 ·	13	0.00%	0.00%
Annual Cost Inflation	0.00%	14	0.00%	0.00%
Beginning Period	1	15	0.00%	0.00%
Maximum Loan (% Cost)	0.00%	16	0.00%	0.00%
Land Draw	\$0	17	0.00%	0.00%
Loan Interest Rate	0.00%	18	0.00%	0.00%
Points .	0.00%	19	0.00%	0.00%
Loan Payback Rate	0.00%	<u>20</u>	<u>0.00%</u>	<u>0.00%</u>
Builder Profit	0.00%	Total	0.00%	100.00%
Discount Rate	20.00%			
Value For Tax Assessment	\$7,130,000	Concluded Valu	e (R)	\$7,130,000
		Concluded Valu	e/Unit(R)	\$93,000
Loan to Cost Ratio (Excl. Land)	0%		-	
Loan to Value Ratio	0%	IRR at Conclude	d Value	20.0%

				,	RORIPAL (TABLE 11A RORIPAUGH RANCH, PHASE II (CONTINUED)	HASEII						
<u>Quarter</u> Units Soid Total Units Sold Remelning Inventory		0.0 0.0 0.0 77.0	1.0 0.0 0.77	6.0 6.0 71.0	9.0 15.0 62.0	9.0 24.0 53.0	83.0.0 0.44 0.44	9.0 42.0 35.0	2 9,0 51.0 26.0	8.0 9.0 60.0 17.0	면 다 다 다 다 다 다 다 다	10 8,0 77.0 0.0	Total.
<u>Avarago Sale Price</u> Grass Sales Revenue		디였	ଘାତୁ	2 <u>90,000</u> \$1,740,000	290,000 \$2,610,000	290,000 \$2,610,000	290,000 \$2,610,000	2 <u>90,000</u> \$2,610,000	290,000 \$2,610,000	2 <u>90,000</u> \$2,610,000	290,000 \$2,610,000	250,000	\$290,000 22,330,000
Sile Costs Construction Costs Rasi Estale Taxes/Unit/Period HOA Fees/Unit/Period Markeling & Sules General & Administrative Costs Exchulkirg Financing & Profit	\$463 · \$375 6.00% 3.00%		1,107,778 35,650 0 0 0 \$1,143,428	0 1,107,778 35,650 1,500 104,400 <u>62,200</u> \$1,301,528	0 27,778 37,872 1,600 1,66,600 81,377,050	0 1,107,778 28,705 1,509 158,600 28,300 \$1,372,883	0 1,107,778 24,538 1,500 1,500 186,600 78,306,78	0 1,107,778 20,371 1,500 158,600 7 8,309 \$ 1,384,549	0 1,107,778 16,205 1,500 1,500 15,600 18,500 18,500 18,500	0 1,107,778 12,038 1,500 156,600 \$1,356,215	0 1,107,778 7,871 (,500 155,600 Z8,300 \$1,352,049	3,704 1,500 139,200 \$214,004	9,870,000 217,604 13,399,800 669,800 \$12,210,804
Cash Flow Before Financing & Profit Loan Drow Loan <u>Peybuck (Cajculations Below)</u> Cash Flow Before Profit		2 c c c	(\$1,143,428) 0 0 (\$1,143,428)	\$438,472 0 \$438,472	\$1,232,950 0 <u>0</u> \$1,232,950	\$1,237,117 0 0 \$1,237,117	\$1,241,284 0 0 \$1,241,284	\$1,245,451 0 <u>0</u> \$1,245,451	. \$1,249,618 0 0 \$1,249,618	\$1,253,785 0 0 \$1,253,785	\$1,257,951 0 0 0 \$1,257,951	\$2,105,996 0 0 \$2,105,996	510,119,196 0 0 \$10,119,196
<u>Builder Prolii</u> Net Gash Flow	0.00%	미없	(\$1,143,428)	\$438,472	\$1,232,950	0 711,237,1117	\$1,241,284	<u>0</u> \$1,245,451	<u>0</u> 51,249,618	\$1,253,785	\$1,287,951	\$2,105,996	\$10,119,196
Discount Factor		1.00000	0,95238	0.90703	0,86384	0,82270	0.78353	0.74622	0.71068	0,67684	0,64461	0.61391	
Discounted Cash Flow		05	(\$1,088,979)	5397,707	\$1,065,069	\$1,017,779	5972,578	\$929,375	\$888,030	\$848,511	\$810,887	\$1,282,899	\$7,134,006
PV of Remaining Cash Flaws	•	\$7,134,005	\$8,634,134	(\$438,472)	(\$1,232,950)	(\$1,232,950) (\$1,237,117)	(\$1,241,284)	(31,245,451)	(\$1,249,618)	(\$1,253,785)	(51,257,951)	(\$2,105,996)	\$92,649 per lot
Loon Calculations Land Draw Gonstuction/Dev.Loon Draw Total Loan Draw		D 01 05	0 01 💂	a aiõ	0 01 0	o 01 g	0 010	0 0 0	c 0 g	០៨ខ្ល	a 마음	ច១១	8 848
Morigage Balanco (Beg. of Period) Interest Accrued Loan Payback	į	000		000	000	• • •	000	000	000	808	000	000	0 0 0

TABLE 11B DEVELOPMENTAL APPROACH ASSUMPTIONS & CONCLUSIONS RORIPAUGH RANCH, PHASE II Planning Area 24 (4,000 SF)

Months Per Period	3	Developme	ent Costs Per	Period
Closings Begin Period	2			
Presales	6.0	<u>Period</u>	Site Costs	Construction
Absorption (Units/Month)	3.0	1	0.00%	11.11%
Total Units	71	2	0.00%	11.11%
Average Sale Price	\$330,000	3	0.00%	11.11%
Annual Appreciation	0.00%	4	0.00%	11.11%
Beginning Period	1	5	0.00%	11.11%
Sales & Marketing	6.00%	6	0.00%	11.11%
General & Administrative	3.00%	7	0.00%	11.11%
Tax Rate	2.00000%	8	0.00%	11.11%
Additional Assesmts./Unit/Yr.	\$0	9	0.00%	11.11%
HOA Fee (Per unit/mo.)	\$125	10	0.00%	0.00%
Average Units/Phase	8	11	0.00%	0.00%
Site Costs (Current \$)	\$0	12	0.00%	0.00%
Construction Costs (Current \$)	\$10,935,000	13	0.00%	0.00%
Annual Cost Inflation	0.00%	14	0.00%	0.00%
Beginning Period	1	15	0.00%	0.00%
Maximum Loan (% Cost)	0.00%	16	0.00%	0.00%
Land Draw	\$0	17	0.00%	0.00%
Loan Interest Rate	0.00%	18	0.00%	0.00%
Points	0.00%	. 19	0.00%	0.00%
Loan Payback Rate	0.00%	<u>20</u>	<u>0.00%</u>	<u>0.00%</u>
Builder Profit	0.00%	Total	0.00%	100.00%
Discount Rate	20.00%		_	
Value For Tax Assessment	\$7,360,000	Concluded Valu	ie (R)	\$7,360,000
	•	Concluded Value	ie/Unit(R)	\$104,000
Loan to Cost Ratio (Excl. Land)	0%		_	
Loan to Value Ratio	0%	IRR at Conclude	ed Value	20.0%

	!				RORIPAU	TABLE 11B RORIPAUGH RANCH, PHASE II (CONTINUED)	HASE (I				,		
<u>Quarter</u> Units Sold Total Units Sold Remaining inventory		0.0 0.0 71.7	0.0 0.0 71.0	6,0 0,0 6,5	9.00 0.80 0.80 0.80	9,0 2,0 24.0 47.0	3 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	9,0 42,0 29,0	2.0 61.0 20.0	8.0 60.0 11.0	99 99.0 89.0 2.0	2.0 71.0 0.0	Tolal
Average Sale Price Gross Sales Ravenue		5 P	al 9	\$1,980,000	330,000	330,000	339,000 \$2,870,000	330,000 \$2,970,000	330,000 \$2,970,000	330,000 \$2,970,000	330,000 \$2,970,000	330,000 \$660,000	\$330,000 23,430,000
Sile Costs Construction Costs Real Estato Taxes/Unit/Period MAP Fresu/Unit/Period Markeling & Saltes General & Administralive Costs Excluding Financing & Profit	\$518 \$375 6.00% 3.00%	a 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,215,000 38,800 0 0 0 0 0 21,261,800	1,215,000 36,800 1,600 118,800 58,400 51,431,500	1,215,000 13,600 1,500 178,200 69,100 \$1,517,490	0 1,215,000 29,025 1,500 178,200 89,100 \$1,512,825	1,215,000 24,361 1,600 176,200 99,100 \$1,508,161	1,215,000 19,896 1,500 178,500 178,200 81,5003,496	0 1,215,000 15,031 1,600 178,200 <u>89,100</u> \$1,498,831	0 1,215,000 10,346 1,590 178,200 89,100 51,494,165	1,215,000 5,701 1,500 178,200 191,100 \$1,489,501	0 1,037 750 750 39,600 19,800 \$61,187	0 212,507 212,507 12,750 1,405,600 702,900 \$13,266,967
Gash Flow Before Financing & Prolit Loan Draw Loan Pavback (Calculations Below) Gash Flow Before Prolit			(\$1,251,800) 0 0 (\$1,251,800)	\$548,500 0 \$548,500	\$1,452,510 0 0 \$1,452,510	\$1,457,175 0 0 \$1,457,175	51,461,839 0 0 0 \$1,481,839	\$1,466,504 0 0 \$1,486,504	\$1,471,169 0 \$1,471,159	\$1,475,834 0 \$1,475,834	\$1,480,499 0 \$1,480,498	\$598,813 0 0 \$598,813	\$10,161,043 0 0 \$10,161,043
Bulider Profit Nel Cash Flow	0.00%	0 0	0 (\$1,251,800)	<u>0</u> \$546,500	<u>0</u> \$1,452,510	<u>0</u> \$1,457,175	<u>0</u> \$1,461,839	<u>0</u> \$1,466,504	<u>0</u> \$1,471,169	<u>0</u> \$1,475,834	0 \$1,460,499	0 \$508,813	<u>0</u> \$10,181,043
Olscount Factor		1.00000	0.95238	6.90703	0.86384	0,82270	0.78353	0.74622	0.71068	0,67684	0.64461	0,61391	
Discounted Cash Flaw		S	(51,152,190)	\$497,508	\$1,254,733	\$1,198,821	51,145,388	\$1,094,328	\$1,045,532	\$998,992	5854,343	\$367,619	\$7,364,883
PV of Remaining Cash Flows		\$7,354,883	\$8,985,032	(\$548,500)	(51,452,510)	(\$1,457,175)	(\$1,461,839)	(\$1,466,504)	(\$1,471,169)	(\$1,475,834)	(\$1,480,469)	(\$598,813)	per lot
Loen Calculations Land Draw ConstructionDev.Loan Draw Total Loan Draw		ㅁɑt다	요 이 많	- O O	c ci 0,	0 00	ü 0 DS	0 0 05	0 DI 0	0 0 0	5 O C	\$ 0i 0 5	05 05 05 05 05 05
Madgage Balance (Beg. of Period) Interest Accrued Loan Payback		C D D	000	===	200	666	0 \$ 0	003	000	000	000	600	0 0 05

TABLE 11C DEVELOPMENTAL APPROACH ASSUMPTIONS & CONCLUSIONS RORIPAUGH RANCH, PHASE II Planning Area 16 (5,000 SF)

				
Months Per Period	3,	Developme	ent Costs Per	Period
Closings Begin Period	2			
Presales	6.0/	<u>Period</u>	Site Costs	Construction
Absorption (Units/Month)*	3.0	1	0.00%	7.14%
Total Units	121/	2	0.00%	7.14%
Average Sale Price	\$397,500	3	0.00%	7.14%
Annual Appreciation	0.00%	4	0.00%	7.14%
Beginning Period	1	5	0.00%	7.14%
Sales & Marketing	6.00%	, 6	0.00%	7.14%
General & Administrative	3.00%	. 7	0.00%	7.14%
Tax Rate	2.00000%	8	0.00%	7.14%
Additional Assesmts./Unit/Yr.	\$0	9	0.00%	7.14%
HOA Fee (Per unit/mo.)	\$125	10	0.00%	7.14%
Average Units/Phase	8	. 11	0.00%	7,14%
Site Costs (Current \$)	\$0	12	0.00%	7.14%
Construction Costs (Current \$)	\$22,310,000/	· 13	0.00%	7.14%
Annual Cost Inflation	0.00%	14	0.00%	7.14%
Beginning Period	1 1	15	0.00%	0.00%
Maximum Loan (% Cost)	0.00%	16	0.00%	0.00%
Land Draw	\$0	17	0.00%	0.00%
Loan Interest Rate	0.00%	18	0.00%	0.00%
Points	0.00%	19	0.00%	0.00%
Loan Payback Rate	0.00%	<u>20</u>	<u>0.00%</u>	<u>0.00%</u>
Builder Profit	0.00%	Total	0.00%	100.00%
Discount Rate	20.00%		٠.	
Value For Tax Assessment	\$13,140,000	Concluded Valu	e (R)	\$13,140,000
1		Concluded Valu	e/Unit(R)	\$109,000
Loan to Cost Ratio (Excl. Land)	0%		•	•
Loan to Value Ratio	0%	IRR at Conclude	ed Value	20.0%

						4	ROF	TABLE 11C RORFAUGH RANCH, FINASE II (CONTINUED)	IC :H, FIIASE S ED)									
quanter Juita Guki Terai Unita Guki Te malbing fewentery		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	125 B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	2.00 0.00 0.011	. # 주 전 다 다 다 다 다	8.0 24.0 97.0.	2 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	12,0 72,0 72,0	2,9 0,10 70.07	8,00 0,00 0,12 0,12	9 99,0 52,0	의 등 등 다	11. 87.0 84.0	112 90.0 25.0	11. 0.0 0.81	귀음말은	121.0 0.00	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1
Averaga Sala Dijen Gjose Salas Revenus		다당	o(2	197,500 1 12,365,000 1	197,500 1772,61	397.500	005,742,500 57,577,500	002,701 002,712,02	005,14C 002,172,C3	387,500 502,772,520	397.500 5772,500	002,70C 002,772,C2	905,714 172,172	005,572 002,572,532	005,772,ca	002,772,cq	267,500 52,747,500	\$2 <u>97,500</u>
54e Costs Contraction Costs (Net Little Terrollouff erical Mathering & Mails Control & Astrictiaties Costs Exclosing Financing & Puet	\$543 \$375 \$100%		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1,580,571 65,700 1,500 141,100 71,550 81,375,421	0 1,582,571 62,442 1,500 214,660 107,325 107,325	0 1,582,571 57,555 1,500 214,650 202,125 51,274,602	0 52,657 1,590 1,590 214,650 107,125 107,125	1,583,571 47,782 1,500 214,550 107,325 11,864,525	0 42,895,571 42,885 1,500 214,650 507,725 51,859,841	0 38,008 1,500 38,008 1,500 23,455 107,328 1855,055	0 1,592,571 1,721 1,500 214,850 102,325 11,950,188	0 28,235 1,500 21,500 21,450 102,325 102,325	0 1,502,571 1,500 21,150 21,500 10,732 51,940,101	0 1,507,1 16,481 1,500 214,650 107,325 st,905,508	0 1,544,571 12,574 1,500 214,650 107,325 \$1,830,421	0 1,50,571 8,628 1,500 214,650 214,650 41,525,13	0 1,401 1,500 160,950 10,415 \$255,726	22,110,000 561,979 21,000 2,885,650 1,442,925 1,442,925
Cosh Flow Before Financing & Profil Cast Draw Cast Piow Before Profil Cast Flow Before Profil		8008	(\$1,65\$,271) 0 0 (\$1,65\$,271)	975,8024 0 0 0 1975,8024	110,602,12 0 0 110,602,12	\$1,502,584 0 0 0 \$1,502,588	\$4,507,708,18 0 0 11,507,703,18	\$1,612,572 1 0 0 \$1,612,672	51,617,559 0 0 0 51,617,559	11,622,445 0 0 0 1,622,445	51,627,112 0 0 51,627,132	11,517,219 0 0 0 81,517,518	\$01,718,1\$ 0 0 \$1,517,106	\$1,041,992 0 1 \$1,841,992	\$1,846,879 0 \$1,846,879	\$1,451,768 0 0 \$1,651,766	12,528,774 0 0 12,526,774	\$20,875,745 5 520,875,745
Bulter Press Kel Cash Flow	D.DO%		\	2612,9028	11,598,011	11,802,698					-	\$1,632,219	\$1,537,105	\$1,841,982	81,645,878	81,651,768	77,852,52	22,528,774 \$20,875,746
Discount Fation Discounted Cash Flow		1. deuber 1	(\$2,080,13)	202.202	0.00304 \$1,380,422	0.525.0 \$1.315,706	4,785,742	11,203,400	E.7 1000 \$1,149,589	\$1,098,135	\$1,044,893	11,002,041	\$127,182	120'7185	\$47,478	\$834,254	\$1,215,422	\$127,510
PV of Ramaining Cash Flows	\$13,	\$13,137 51d \$15,453,636	15,453,656	(\$508,579)	(\$1,596,011) ((\$1,502,385) ((\$1,607,785)	(STA, CTA, CTA, 12)	(\$1,617,559)	(\$17625,145)	(err'268'18)	(01/2/2/11)	(\$1,637,108)	(\$1,641,987)	(\$1,640,879)	(51,651,768)	(52,578,774)	no per lor
Loan Calculations . ContincionDevion Draw Tela Leen Draw		ㅁ미중	요리결	# 다 맛	a 대표	= eig	o 이 및	a 64 65	o alg	ㅁជ	a 0(g	a a) 첫	a ¤(g	a 리얼	다 아탈	ㅁ리덫	ㅁ더ဌ	명돼요
Mongogo Balance (Beg. of Period) Interes Accused Loan Payback		0 9 9		000	000		000	•••	9 9 9	•••	a a a		509	000		000		0 0 0

TABLE 11D DEVELOPMENTAL APPROACH ASSUMPTIONS & CONCLUSIONS RORIPAUGH RANCH, PHASE II Planning Area 18 (6,000 SF)

		10 (0,000 0.)		
Months Per Period	4,	Developme	ent Costs Per	Period
Closings Begin Period	2 1	,		
Presales	4.01	<u>Period</u>	Site Costs	Construction
Absorption (Units/Month)*	2.0	1	0.00%	6.25%
Total Units	121/	<u> </u>	0.00%	6.25%
Average Sale Price	\$425,000	3	0.00%	6.25%
Annual Appreciation	0.00%	4 .	0.00%	6.25%
Beginning Period	(1	, 5	0.00%	6.25%
Sales & Marketing	6.00%	, 6	0.00%	6.25%
General & Administrative	3.00%	/ 7	0.00%	6.25%
Tax Rate	2.00000%	. 8	0.00%	6.25%
Additional Assesmts./Unit/Yr.	\$0	9	0.00%	6.25%
HOA Fee (Per unit/mo.)	\$125	10	0.00%	6.25%
Average Units/Phase	8	11	0.00%	6.25%
Site Costs (Current \$)	\$0	12	0.00%	6.25%
Construction Costs (Current \$)	\$23,230,000	13	0.00%	6.25%
Annual Cost Inflation	0.00%	14	0.00%	6.25%
Beginning Period	1	15	0.00%	6.25%
Maximum Loan (% Cost)	0.00%	16	0.00%	6.25%
Land Draw	\$0	17	0.00%	0.00%
Loan Interest Rate	0.00%	18	0.00%	0.00%
Points	0.00%	19	0.00%	0.00%
Loan Payback Rate	0.00%	<u>20</u>	0.00%	<u>0.00%</u>
Builder Profit	0.00%	Total	0.00%	100,00%
Discount Rate	20.00%		_	
Value For Tax Assessment	\$11,710,000	Concluded Value	e (R)	\$11,710,000
	f	Concluded Value	e/Unit(R) [\$97,000
Loan to Cost Ratio (Excl. Land)	0%		_	
Loan to Value Ratio	0%	IRR at Conclude	d Value	20.0%

								22	TABLE (10 RORPAUGH BAYCK, PIJASE N (CONTRIVED)	IID ACIL PIJASE N UEDJ										
firmg Unis Súl Taist Viris Súla Rembéring les matary		9 8 8 EV	4882	4445	u 2 5 5 6	# 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	200 200 210	4 5 5 4 6 6 6	74 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 2 E	의물흥다	뒤끝말	7227	T2 22 0	제요합	역합물문	45.55	여름음음	Mary 121
Averna Sale Pins Gross Sales Revenue		미요	무밌	000,207,18 000,007,18	23,000	121 000	13,100 003	425 000 83,400,000	425,000	205,000 \$1,400,000	475.000 13,400,000	11,400,000	125.000	13,400,000	425,000	\$1,400,000	125.000 53.400.000 53.400.000	425,000 51,400,000	475,000 475,000	\$1,475,000 \$1,475,000
Sia Casia Canifornic Data Had Estia Tarackhaffenad Hib Feathaffenad Listersy 3 Sam Gentral Astronium Casia Enduchy Francing & Pall	15645 1560 1.0034 1.0034	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	1,451,875 78,067 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,451,875 78,447 2,000 102,000 21,000 81,084,942	1,481,178 73,484 73,484 2000,100 100,000 1,835,18	2,451,875 70,325 70,325 204,500 107,200 \$1,830,350	6 125,1 63 183,1 63 183, 640 102, 640 102, 81,0 258,18	0 1,481,875 20.002 20.4020 204,020 21,21920	1,451,275 2,034 2,034 204,000 197,000 1,414,718	0 1,451,818 41,073 2,000 204,000 112,200 31,508,554	1,491,875 44,517 2002 204,000 100,000	1,451,875 29,315 2,000 204,600 107,600 11,709,731	0 1,451,873 24,184 2,000 204,000 102,000 31,784,088	1,451,475 78,033 78,033 204,030 197,030 51,764,606	0 1,451,875 71,875 2,000 204,000 102,000 11,765,747	1,451,574 16,710 2,000 204,000 102,000 1,778,585	1,481,875 13,548 2,000 284,000 102,000 11,773,424	1,451,875 1,387 204,000 101,000 11,768,262	2,726 2,000 127,500 03,750 8150,478	0 746.477 746.477 3,045,560 1,542,750 126,639,723
Cash Paw Bahas Faunana & Praft Lost Draw Lan Barback (Calodestern Reign) Cash Faw Battos Fraft		무추여유		\$15,058 0 0 \$12,418	11,564,836 0 0 11,564,636	11,500,800 0 0 1,500,800	11,574.962 0 0 0 0 11,574.002	\$1,540,173 0 0 11,540,123	285,288,18 0 0 285,283,18	\$1,590,446 0 0 \$1,590,446	\$1,505,506 0 0 1,565,604	\$1,600,758 0 0 \$1,000,769	11,60,203,11 0 0 11,502,11	\$1,611,0922 0 0 10 11,611,092	11,510,251 0 1 1 12,210,11	\$1,621,415 0 9 51,621,415	11,525,576 0 0 1 1,625,576	BC7,1E8,12 Q Q BC7,1E8,18	1,52,620,12 U 1,528,959,18	\$12,740,274 0 0 0 127,740,727
Butter Profit	7007	92	~[214,352,14]	, E50,218) BLI MYSI	008,365,18	\$1,574,563	\$1,500,123	11,585,245	0 81,50¢,446	109'585'11	\$1,000,740	E1,805,93T	\$1,611,092	11,610,253	81,523,415	015,820,118	BCZ,122,13	3, 422,002,18	V 522,000,222
Decourt Factor		1,00000	0,83750		1,62397	0,77748	E.77470	57,03	0.63650	2.59672	0.55942	0,52440	0.49166	0.46095	11211	D.40513	D.37981	0.75407		
Decarried Cash Flow		ũ	(11,434,320)	\$13,232	\$1288,723										\$098,451					\$11,744,574 \$90,615
PV of Remands Cash Flows	•	511,714,574 514,025,488	514,DZE,488	1515,058	(81,564,639)	(\$1,5c2,500)	(31,574,962)	(11,510,123)	(\$15,585,285)	[\$1,570,446]	[41,665,500]	1\$1,600,760]	(\$1,605,011)	(51,611,052)	(11,016,253)	(\$1,611,415)	(81829,578)	(\$1,631,734)	(51,978,524)	
Dan Cakafaran Land Diaw EmiliotzinDer, Loso Diad Telal Lem Diaw		ㅁ미물	□ □ □	o ulg	<u> </u>	a arg	0 CZ Ç	a ci <u>ş</u>	ㅁ때요	- 	ㅁ며멽	요미글	ㅁ뎍큕	202	202	0 010	n cag	a a g	디니다	김 되고
Maritings Balance (Bed. of Parted) Idearst Account Loar Paytieck		888	888	eop	900	800			995	999	a a t		000	=06			989	005	U & U	202

TABLE 11E DEVELOPMENTAL APPROACH ASSUMPTIONS & CONCLUSIONS RORIPAUGH RANCH, PHASE II PLANNING AREA 20 (21,780-SQUARE FOOT LOTS)

/ LAMINAG ANG				
Months Per Period	3	Developm	ent Costs Per	Period
Closings Begin Period	3			
Presales	2.0	<u>Period</u>	Site Costs	Construction
Absorption (Units/Month)	1.0	1	0.00%	9.09%
Total Units	29	2	0.00%	9.09%
Average Sale Price	\$550,000	3	0.00%	9.09%
Annual Appreciation	0.00%	4	0.00%	9.09%
Beginning Period	1	5	0.00%	9.09%
Sales & Marketing	6.00%	. 6	0.00%	9.09%
General & Administrative	3.00%	7	0.00%	9.09%
Tax Rate	2.00000%	8	0.00%	9.09%
Additional Assesmts./Unit/Yr.	\$0	9	0.00%	9.09%
HOA Fee (Per unit/mo.)	\$125	10	0.00%	9.09%
Average Units/Phase	8	11	0.00%	9.09%
Site Costs (Current \$)	\$0	12	0.00%	0.00%
Construction Costs (Current \$)	\$6,600,000	13	0.00%	0.00%
Annual Cost Inflation	- 0.00%	14	0.00%	0.00%
Beginning Period	1	15	0.00%	0.00%
Maximum Loan (% Cost)	0.00%	16	0.00%	0.00%
Land Draw	\$0	17	0.00%	0.00%
Loan Interest Rate	0.00%	18	0.00%	0.00%
Points	0.00%	19	0.00%	0.00%
Loan Payback Rate	0.00%	<u>20</u>	<u>0.00%</u>	<u>0.00%</u>
Builder Profit	0.00%	Total	0.00%	100.00%
Discount Rate	20.00%		•	
Value For Tax Assessment	\$4,940,000	Concluded Value	ue (R)	\$4,940,000
		Concluded Val	ue/Unit(R)	\$170,000
Loan to Cost Ratio (Excl. Land)	0%			
Loan to Value Ratio	0%	IRR at Conclud	led Value	20.0%

						HORIPAUI	TABLE 11E RORIPAUGH RANCH, PHASE II (GONTINUED)	1A5E II							
<u>Gluoras</u> Unile Soid Tatal Units Soid Romeiring Inventory		0 0 0 6Z	0.0 29.0	, 0 0 M	4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	4) D O O	2 m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m	8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	2,0 14.0 15.0	3.0 17.0 12.0	2, 5, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,	일 등 등	25.0 25.0 3.0 0.5	23.0.5 0.0.00 0.000	<u>Tolas</u>
Averano Salo Priçe Gross Sales Revonud		디다	GI 🛱	2 10	\$1,100,000	\$1,650,000	\$1,650,000	550,000 \$1,650,000	550,000 \$1,650,000	\$1,650,000	\$50,000 \$1,650,000	51,650,000	\$1,650,000	550,000 \$1,650,000	\$550,000 15,950,000
Sila Costs Construction Costs Real Estate TransUnitPeriod HOA FearbuiltPeriod Marketing & Sales Genstal & Administrative Costs Excluding Financing & Profit	\$652 \$375 8,00%	0 0 0 B 0 0 E	0 24,700 0 0 0 0 0 8624,700	600,000 24,700 0 0 3624,700	610,000 24,700 1,500 66,000 33,000 5725,200	0 600,000 22,007 1,500 90,000 90,000 5772,997	0 20,441 1,500 89,900 \$770,441	0 BDO,DOO 17,886 1,500 89,000 49,500 \$767,886	600,000 16,331 1,504 98,000 \$765,331	0 600,000 12,778 1,500 69,000 84,500 \$762,778	0 600,000 10,221 1,500 90,500 1,500	000,000 0,000,000 000 000	0 500,000 5,110 1,500 81,000 49,500 5755,110	0 2,555 1,125 1,125 89,500 49,500 \$152,180	6,600,000 188,085 14,625 857,009 278,600 58,239,208
Gash Plaw Betore Financing & Prott Loan Draw Loan Pavback (Calculations Beloy) Cash Flow Betore Proft		96	(\$624,700) 0 0 (\$674,700)	(1624,709) 0 0 0 (1624,700)	5274,600 0 0 52374,600	\$677,003 0 0 5677,003	\$679,558 0 0 0 878,558	5882,114 0 0 0 5882,114	5884,668 0 0 5884,669	\$887,224 0 0 5887,224	977,888\$ 0 <u>0</u> 9 977,888	\$892,334 0 0 \$882,334	\$804,890 0 0 \$804,890	\$1,497,520 0 0 \$1,497,520	57,710,792 0 0 0 0 57,710,792
Builder Profil Net Cesth Frow Discount Factor	#00.0	2 \$0 1.00000	0.957, kTa8)	0.90703	5374,500 0.86384	0.82270	0.78353 0.78353	5862,114 0,74622	2 5684,669 0.71066	\$887,224 0.67684	2 \$889,779 0.64481	2 \$892,334 0.61391	0 \$694,690 0.58466	51,497,620 0,55684	\$7,710,702
Discounted Cash Flow PV of Remaining Cash Flows		50 \$4,938,977	(\$594,852) \$5,810,626	(\$566,621) \$624,700	\$323,766 (\$374,800)	5721,513 (500,7788)	5688,157 (\$678,559)	\$658,247 (\$682,114)	\$628,718	\$600,508 (\$867,224)	\$573,560 (977,988\$)	\$547,016 (\$862,334)	\$623,223 (\$804,890)	\$834,042 (\$1,497,620)	\$4,638,977 \$170,310 per loi
Loan Calculations Land Draw <u>ConstructionDay,Loan Ornw</u> Tolal Loan Draw		0 DI 🕏	0 0103	0 01 <u>0</u>	n 04 0	a 01 g	e ei 2	C 01 D	ㅁ미뮻	ㅁ미멼	[©] 디잉	2 C (S	c 01 g	000	8 318
Maripage Balance (Deg. of Period) Interest Accruad Loan Peybeck	•	000	000	000		000	000		000	 .	a o o	000	000	500	0 0 0

The two approaches result in relatively narrow value ranges and are both based on recent, comparable data, and considered reliable. We have given equal consideration to both approaches with slightly more weight given the sales comparison approach because of the recency of the land sales data for Planning Areas 14, 16, 18 and 24. The land sales data used in

our analysis of Planning Area 20 is dated, but the two approaches indicate a narrow value range and we estimated a mid-range value for this group of lots. The table to the right summarizes the finished lot value for each lot category.

	Hypothetical Finished
Product	Lot Value
3,150 SF	\$87,000
4,000 SF	S89,000
5,000 SF	000,162
6,000 SF	S93,000
21,780 SF	\$160,000

The subject includes two school sites, Planning Areas

28 and 29, containing 20 and 12 acres, respectively. School sites are generally purchased in a mass-graded condition with all offsite infrastructure in-place. The value of school sites is based upon the surrounding land, in the subject's case residential land. Planning Area 31 is contiguous to both Planning Areas 28 and 29, for purposes of this analysis we have estimated values of Planning Areas 28 and 29 based upon a per acre basis of Planning Area 31 assuming in a blue-topped condition.

Planning Area 31 is comprised of 3,150-square foot lots and is estimated to have the per lot value as estimated for Planning Area 14, or \$87,000 per finished lot. Site development costs to improve Planning Area 31 from a blue-topped to finished status have been estimated at \$22,845 per lot. Deducting the estimated site development cost from the finished lot value results in a blue-topped value estimate of \$64,155 per lot. Planning Area 31 includes 164 lots when multiplied by \$64,155 per lot results in an overall value estimate of \$10,521,420. Planning Area 31 includes 24.6 acres. Dividing the overall estimated blue-topped value for Planning Area 31 by the site area results in a price of \$427,700.

Based upon a price per acre of \$427,700, we have estimated a value of \$8,555,000 (rounded) and \$5,130,000 (rounded) for Planning Areas 28 and 29, respectively. The following *Table12* summarizes the prospective finished lot values for the subject Planning Areas, expected to occur by December 31, 2009.

Planning Area 16 Planning Area 17 Planning Area 18 Planning Area 19		\$93,000 \$93,000 \$160,000	77 104 121 147 121 26	\$6,699,000 \$9,048,000 \$11,011,000 \$13,671,000 \$11,253,000 \$4,160,000	Finished of Value 599,000 011,000 011,000 0571,000 0551,0
Planning Area 20 Planning Area 21 Planning Area 22 Planning Area 24 Planning Area 28 Planning Area 29 Planning Area 31 Total	21,780 \$: 21,780 \$: 3,150 \$: 4,000 N/A N/A 3,150	\$160,000 \$160,000 \$87,000 \$89,000 N/A N/A \$87,000	29 24 126 51 71 71 N/A 1,061	\$4,640,000 \$3,840,000 \$10,962,000 \$4,539,000 \$6,319,000 \$8,555,000 \$5,130,000 \$14,268,000 \$114,095,000	

Appraisal of Roripaugh Ranch, Phase II, Temecula, CA

DISCOUNTED CASH FLOW ANALYSIS

In the preceding pages, we estimated finished lot values for each of the subject Planning Areas. In this section of the appraisal, we have combined these values into a discounted cash flow analysis to value the entire subject property "as is" as well as the bulk blue-topped lot values for the 1,061 subject lots.

The general concept is similar to that employed in the developmental analysis of the individual tracts, i.e., estimating the magnitude and timing of future cash flows and discounting them to a present value at an appropriate discount rate. The primary differences are (1) unlike the previous model, which assumes that site development is completed, the model takes into account the expenditures required to improve the property from its current condition to a finished lot status, and (2) the return requirements for a development of this type are higher than required for a single tract.

ASSUMPTIONS

In addition to the difference in the way developer profit is handled, other assumptions underlying the models are discussed briefly below.

- Revenues represent land sales to builders, rather than individual home sales. These revenues equal the overall blue-topped value as of the anticipated delivery date for each Planning Area for the "as is" and blue-topped valuation. The blue-topped values (revenues) for each of the subject Planning Areas is summarized in the following Table 13.
- We have included CFD reimbursements as a revenue item in an analysis of the property in an "as is" and assuming completion to a blue-topped status. Typically CFD reimbursements lag behind the expenditure of site development costs. We have allowed a two period lag time between the site development expenditures and the receipt of the CFD reimbursements.
- The subject lots have been bulk graded with backbone streets cut, required bridges constructed and utilities under construction; however, completion of the site development work has been stalled. Because of pending litigation completion of the project will be delayed for an undetermined amount of time. We have assumed that lot sales will potentially begin in August 2009 or in the 5th period. This assumption considers that sales will occur prior to site development being completed. We have assumed an absorption rate of one to two planning areas per quarter depending on the number of lots.

			T/ Lot Sales Reve	TABLE 13 Lot Sales Revenues Assuming CFD	a CFD			
		Finished	ig.	Builder Costs		Current Blue-topped		
Planning Area Planning Area 14	Lot Size (SF) 3,150	Lot Value \$87,000	Improvement \$16,000	Fees \$7,234	Total \$23,234	Value \$63,766	No. Lats 77	Total DLV \$4,909,982
Planning Area 15	3,150	\$87,000	\$16,000	\$7,234	\$23,234	\$63,766	104	\$6,631,664
Planning Area 16	5,000	\$91,000	\$18,000	\$11,535	\$29,535	\$61,465	121	\$7,437,265
Planning Area 17	000'9	\$93,000	\$18,000	\$12,512	\$30,512	\$62,488	147	\$9,185,736
Planning Area 18	6,000	\$93,000	\$18,000	\$12,512	530,512	\$62,488	121	\$7,561,048
Planning Area 19	21,780	\$160,000	\$21,000	\$13,685	\$34,685	\$125,315	26	\$3,258,190
Planning Area 20	21,780	\$160,000	\$21,000	\$13,685	\$34,685	\$125,315	29	\$3,634,135
Area	21,780	\$160,000	\$21,000	\$13,685	\$34,685	\$125,315	24	\$3,007,560
Planning Area 22	3,150	\$87,000	\$16,000	\$7,234	\$23,234	\$63,766	126	\$8,034,516
Planning Area 23	4,000	\$89,000	\$16,000	\$8,602	\$24,602	\$64,398	51	\$3,284,298
Planning Area 24	4,000	\$89,000	\$16,000	\$8,602	\$24,602	\$64,398	71	\$4,572,258
Planning Area 28	School	A/N	N/A	N/A	V/N	NA	N/A	\$8,555,000
Planning Area 29	School	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$5,130,000
Planning Area 31	3,150	\$87,000	\$16,000	\$7,234	\$23,234	\$63,766	164	\$10,457,624
Total							1,061	\$85,659,276
					-			

Appraisal of Roripaugh Ranch, Phase II, Temecula, CA

- The estimated revenues presented in the bulk value cash flows represent current market values. At the present time market indicators suggest a stabilizing market. Therefore, we have not included an appreciation factor in this analysis.
- At the time of our inspection, the subject lots were bulk-graded with backbone street cuts, required bridges constructed and utilities under construction. The remaining site development costs have been estimated at \$61,703,878 to complete the subject lots to a deliverable blue-topped status. These costs have been allocated equally over eight periods. The site development costs have been zeroed out for the bulk blue-topped value analysis.
- Property taxes are calculated by multiplying the nominal tax rate of 1.1% by the indicated value per Proposition 13, and dividing by four to reflect the quarterly period of the analysis. We have entered the special assessments of \$3,100,000 per year on a per unit basis (\$2,847) which is multiplied by the remaining lots at the end of each quarter.
- We have included sales commissions of 2.0% of revenues, assuming broker participation
 as well as direct sales. Also included is a 0.5% expense for legal and closing costs. The
 total expense for marketing and sales is 2.5% of revenues.
- We have run our cash flow analysis on an all cash basis.

Discount Rate

The final step in constructing the discounted cash flow tables is selecting an appropriate discount rate. Discount rates for large-scale land development projects are typically higher than for construction, to reflect the potential for additional risks. First, the exposure to *market risk* may be greater, as 1,061 residential lots will take longer to sell than 40 or 50 lots, increasing the odds that some of the planning areas will have to be sold during a down market. Given the weakness in the housing market, market risk is considered to be a concern for the subject.

Secondly, the land developer is typically exposed to *development risk* due to the large-scale project; however, the site has been graded, bridges constructed and utilities are under construction reducing the element of development risk for the subject property.

Other risks including *entitlement and environmental risk* have also been overcome; however, the subject development is currently embroiled in pending litigation with the city of Temecula. The timing of settlement of the legal issues is uncertain.

Even though the subject is temporarily tied up in legal matters, the primary risk for the development is considered to be market risk. The current weakened condition of the housing market is anticipated to continue for the next two to three years while builders reduce existing inventory of homes and lots. The subject development is nearing a deliverable state even though there are extensive site development costs remaining. A high percentage of the remaining costs are allocated towards common area development including recreation facilities, including clubhouse and pools, sports complex and neighborhood parks. We feel a discount rate of 25% is appropriate for the subject property. We have reduced the discount rate to 22% for the bulk blue-topped lot valuation as most of the risk has been overcome by this point.

VALUE CONCLUSIONS ASSUMING CFD REIMBURSEMENTS

The following *Table 14* summarizes the "as is" value analysis for the subject property assuming CFD reimbursement, as of May 28, 2008 and indicates a value of:

\$15,300,000

FIFTEEN MILLION THREE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS

The following *Table 15* summarizes the prospective bulk blue-topped lot value for the 1,061 lots including CFD reimbursements, as of August 31, 2009 and indicates a value of:

\$78,300,000

SEVENTY-EIGHT MILLION THREE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS

VALUE CONCLUSIONS ASSUMING NO CFD REIMBURSEMENTS

We have been asked to provide "as is" and bulk blue-topped value estimates assuming no CFD reimbursements. This assumption requires adjustments to previous assumptions. First, lot sale revenues require adjustment because of reduce property taxes. If there are no CFD assessments, home prices generated will be higher because of the reduced tax liability. Generally speaking, higher home prices result in higher finished lot values. We have adjusted the blue-topped lot sales revenues based upon 50% of the present value of the monthly payment difference with and without CFD assessments discounted at 7.0% for a 30 year period. The adjusted revenues are summarized in the following *Table 16*.

The second adjustment is for the tax rate itself. The prior analyses assume a 1.1% nominal tax rate plus \$2,847 per unit per year. Assuming no CFD eliminates the \$2,847 per unit per year, but

					7	TAB VALUE SRIPAUGH R	TABLE 14 VALUE "AS IS" RORIPAUGH RANCH, PHASE II	1E 11	i						
SALES SURMART ¹ Portrog Aces 14 Portrog Aces 14 Portrog Aces 14 Portrog Aces 14 Portrog Aces 18 Portrog Aces 18 Portrog Aces 18 Portrog Aces 18 Portrog Aces 20 Portrog 2		6 440 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	24 th and	24.05 100000000000000000000000000000000000	47 6 40000000000000000000000000000000000	4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	245 de la companya de	147-43	24	May-10 0 121 121 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	11/4/4 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
RUUENIES Lund State Parricy Ass 14 Parricy Ass 14 Parricy Ass 15 Parricy Ass 17 Parricy Ass 22 Parricy Ass 22 Parricy Ass 23 Parricy Ass 24 Parricy Ass 25 P	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	000000000000000000000000000000000000000			175717 175717 175717 175717 175717 175717	2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	4,000,047 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7.417.205 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,527,00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2.254,140 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	1007.500 2007.500 2007.500 2007.500 311.642.006	279'829'111'	(1964 pt) 4,000,012 4,000,012 6,011,044 7,511,012 7,511,014 7,511,
EVPENSES See Development Cests See Development Cests Preject Linespenses & Dommon' Company & Not Deep Additional Prints Additional Prints Pallet Lond Cestion Cests See Additional Prints See Addition	(Aberthaed) a1,723,874 0 fqr. 1,1005% \$2,847 340 2.5%	an nao ag i	7,712,855 0 41,865 745,167 0 1707,132	7,712,465 41,865 755,167 8 8787,122	1,742,965 0 11,803 162,167 0 0 11,797,13	25,717,7 40,747 11,725,7 11,747,8 19,747,8	7,712,865 0 10,521 755,187 0 204,637 1987,545	7,712,925 0 35,220 644,053 0 17,121,11	7,712,863 20,165 255,436 255,436 1344,686	7,712,945 2,773,04 1,05,273 1,05,243 1,05,243	10,522 178,651 188,198	15,416 272,527 0 272,626 0 171,724	000 1025 1025 1025 1025 1025 1025 1025 1	2533 116,727 201.43 201.44 201.44	51,703,616 51,703,616 0,475,502 0,475,502 18,337,506
THE CONTROL OF STREET	10 25 E. 10 14 E. 10 15 E. 10		(8,510,116) (8,510,116) 0 (38,510,116) a p4116 (38,009,221)		15,257,840) 0 0 165,257,840) 0 155,257,840) 155,267,144)	15,216,724) 0 0 (11,236,724) 0.78480 (14,724,787)	123,127,2 0 121,127,2 120,27,0 120,27,0	10.57,747,84 0 0 0.77,747,86 0 0.5920.	4,680287 4,680287 0.080,217 0.084/8	2,102,208 2,102,208 12,502,208 0 61570	020,822,01 020,822,01 0497,20 8497,20		10,534,458 10,534,458 10,534,458	10.075,527 0 810,075,527 0.46312	#41,015,258 0 141,015,250 141,015,250
Leaf Catalaine Leaf Shaw Cartaintail tan Bhra Tail Lean Bra Variage Shace (Bag af Paird) Pankhaint Account		600	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	622 000			0000 000	1 2 2 2 2 2 2	000 000	2017 000	, 000 044	noë rer	១៧ភ្ន ដ៥ 0	505 550	405 000
Descunt Rate Rocalinesy Acresses:	tt betty sekentible in 13 martie 11. 24. Sabbrat is development. 24. sekelty peer styl princie. 25. Sekelty peer styl princie. 25. Sekelty peer 27. Sekelt		115 DOG COO 514 [12] prices 12, and at include a Dod w	A Pales in	115,200,000 d w .u.b.	*in	\$12,000,000							ļ	

					HOR	TABL LA BLUETT IPAUGH FU	TABLE 15 BULK ULUE-TOPPED VALUE RORIPAUGH RANCH, PIASE 8	点							
:		7	August	10-44	44	P L	ALE CO.	2	4	17 m	Augita	E4:10	7	Lep.	
Denote App 14	9 =	C# 41	⊶ □	P= C	~0	41 0	** F.	- 0	~ □	# a		đa	==	7	ā⊧.
Planning Arms 15.	ž	•••	a c	6 6	.			a a	3 -	- 2	.	0 0	# 9	₩ 17	<u> </u>
Princip Ann 17	:5:	- 67 (141			47		Έ'				0	61		21
Person Airs II	e n	- -	2 0	a n	a #1		e ti	80			<u> </u>	7 7			7 .
Paring Are 20	A A	a p	0 D	A B	# 4		9 89	s 63 1	A ^{co}	90		9 4 5	* # <u>}</u>		12
Party Alsa El	ļa:		8 63 (G 13 1	u 0-1	o a 6	* F	o o (a 6 4	a p 4	991		<u> </u>		4=;
Parting Area 31	= 픠	9 64	3 CI	a q:	9 291	s 04	9	9 C> į	•	·	-	.	9	* <u>#</u>	- = ;
Com Laid in Persol Cumurities Lats Soid York Restaures	ž	a = 1	9 5	90	Ē	Ē	<u> </u>	162	3 5 8	E 0 S	- E	23	251	<u> </u>	1
**************************************	Profession of the	ļ													Cod shed
Land Bales							!	٠	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	
Partition 14 Partition 15	ar ca		•	9 0	9 2	90		o e :	LEST JACA	9 0			9 12 1		1
Plenting Ares 14 Flecting Ares 17	2 4 Ci	n a	5 a	- 0	9 0	• •	.	1.153.74	B B	4 C			9 9		1 157 Z
Planning Acts 18	102315		o a	0 0		\$	a a		a 0	+ 4	3	E E	6 8		3 2 2
Perrey Asia 20	1		.				96		1.604.135		= 0	9 5	3007540		1134,135
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	14. A.			- 12 1		94		. D t					8,534.9	DI	11431
Part Air 24	, i		9 0		9 0	90		9 11	1 11			4177.			457.75
Parenty Ana 23	11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1		o o	 4	90	c) ti	0 0	1,130 to 1	5 B	B B	a 6		0 0	.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Thurst Aven 31	T CH		0	•										10,47,57	10.457.E.
GF D Restauras reger "stil Land Solve Reserves Comulative Land Bobo Revenues	47.10.43	의 <u>경</u> 경	머료및	P 2 9	마요크	-22			THE PERSON NAMED IN	2011	10 10 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		111.042018 104.114.677 5	110,457,814 104,677,831	PER CELTIFICATION
Contains	firm fahrd														De la
Dre Development Causa Trapes of Trapes of	Ė	u 0	13 G	4 11		• •					••		9 6	98	
Garrymg & Late Coats Raal Extra Tenné	l, Joseph	-		91				E	n I	ij	H	Ì.	1	7	96,04
HOA Feelbecherth	2			. 13			•	-			-	-	<u> </u>	-	-
Sales and Characters	ā	- 2	면	-9	₽ 2	œg.			11.			N.			
Total Expersor		¥	2	ä	3	9	11,1334	11,213.678	ממבות	etterte	1414157	E A	TISCO-CEI	1340,343	12 S.C.), ET.
Cash flew Labor Provided		- 0	00	PO	pq	u a	220,17231	E S	1234,940 0	C34,010,0	12,138,167 B	315.151.31 0	10,454,500	10 A250,01	100
LEED Person (Calcordors Pring) ACT CASH FLOW		-9	e= 5	-9	12	≃g.	0 200,100,018		\$12,545,540		591,511,014	11512111 0	05.149-018	Speakarott	3
ANTIEL Discussed flats	ć n	1	9	1	BE		0 H/m	E11045	19191	t H	1,000	272	0 14744	12120	
PRESENT VALUE (VI)	- Lumine	Ħ	Ø	3	2	3	10,711,00	114.003.115	10.042	17,161,417	M.Br.Em	MC, TL, CIR	1,311,043	क्यांचा व्य	111,111,545
Lang Calculation	5	4	ti		٠	-	-	o :	13:	٥			-	•	
Ten Lan Star	É	e-3	- 3	-9	43	≖g	-9	떠덮	- 9	≓g	무역	-9	- 2	7 <u>9</u>	-3
Vertypye Balenca į bay, at Parus) Partybalensa Azmani Geon Paylana	ğ			944	600	***	000	000	009	000	P00	020		404	980
Despet Res Sembleby Attable.	П	11.	1 See Feel	728	4, xm cm	7,1	174 822 531								
1. Harmer's of the part terrander property.		j													
Forman colors the teams of the	t belond all deret present.	The state of	1	akisopra a tempina, teg (20 Azmados	, wedd fer	ter er er er	Section of the sectio	_							
Line on beat to rest by	North Section 1	-	10.01	Act to been at the state of the	7										
I between the termination of June 1	THE PERSON OF REAL PROPERTY.	- No.	1												

Finished Lot Size (SF) Lot Value Imp Planning Area 14 3,150 \$102,000 Planning Area 15 5,000 \$111,000 Planning Area 16 5,000 \$114,000 Planning Area 19 21,780 \$187,500 Planning Area 20 21,780 \$187,500 Planning Area 22 3,150 \$102,000 Planning Area 22 3,150 \$105,500 Planning Area 23 4,000 \$105,500	### ### ##############################	Builder Costs Fees \$7,234 \$7,234 \$11,535 \$12,512	10tal \$23,234	Current Blue-topped <u>Value</u> \$78,766 \$78,766	No. <u>Lots</u> 77 104	Total DLV \$6,064,982 \$8,191,664 \$9,857,265
14 3,150 Eof Value 3,150 \$102,000 15 3,150 \$102,000 17 000 17 000 \$114,000 19 21,780 \$187,500 21 21,780 \$187,500 22 3,150 \$105,500 23		Fees \$7,234 \$7,234 \$11,535 \$12,512	Total \$23,234	Value \$78,766 \$78,766 \$81,465	No. Lots 77 104	Total DLV \$6,064,982 \$8,191,664 \$9,857,265
15 3,150 16 5,000 17 6,000 18 6,000 19 21,780 20 21,780 21 21,780 22 3,150		\$7,234 \$11,535 \$12,512	629 224	\$78,766 \$81,465	104	\$8,191,664 \$9,857,265
16 5,000 \$ 17 6,000 \$ 18 6,000 \$ 19 21,780 \$ 20 21,780 \$ 21 21,780 \$ 3,150 \$ 3,23 \$ 4,000 \$ 3,800 \$ 3,	\$18,000 \$18,000	\$11,535 \$12,512	十つり ウィウ	\$81,465	יי ר	\$9,857,265
17 6,000 \$ 18 6,000 \$ 21,780 \$ 22 3,150 \$ 3,20 \$ 3,150 \$ 3,20 \$ 3,150 \$ 3,20 \$ 3,150 \$	\$18,000 \$18,000	\$12,512	\$29,535		- 7-	
18 6,000 \$ 19 21,780 \$ 20 21,780 \$ 22 3,150 \$ 3,20 23	כככ מויפ		\$30,512	\$83,488	147	\$12,272,736
20 21,780 \$ 20 21,780 \$ 21 21,780 \$ 3,150 \$ 22 3,150 \$	000'0	\$12,512	\$30,512	\$83,488	121	\$10,102,048
20 21,780 \$ 21 21,780 \$ 22 3,150 \$ 23 4,000 \$	\$21,000	\$13,685	\$34,685	\$152,815	56	\$3,973,190
21 21,780 \$ 22 3,150 \$ 23 4,000 \$	\$21,000	\$13,685	\$34,685	\$152,815	29	\$4,431,635
22 3,150 \$ 23 4,000 \$	\$21,000	\$13,685	\$34,685	\$152,815	24	\$3,667,560
23 4.000 \$	\$16,000	\$7,234	\$23,234	\$78,766	126	\$9,924,516
.	\$16,000	\$8,602	\$24,602	\$80,888	57	\$4,125,798
24 4,000 \$105,	\$16,000	\$8,602	\$24,602	\$80,898	71	\$5,743,758
Planning Area 28 School N/A	ΑN	N/A	A/N	A/N	A/N	\$10,554,000
	N/A	N/A	A/A	Y/Z	N/A	\$6,332,400
Planning Area 31 3,150 \$102,000	\$16,000	\$7,234	\$23,234	\$78,766	164	\$12,917,624
	-				1,061	\$108,159,176

Appraisal of Roripaugh Ranch, Phase II, Temecula, CA

the nominal tax rate will most likely increase slightly to 1.2%.

The following *Table 17* summarizes the "as is" value analysis for the subject property assuming no CFD reimbursement, as of May 28, 2008 and indicates a value of:

\$15,600,000

FIFTEEN MILLION SIX HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS

The following *Table 18* summarizes the prospective bulk blue-topped lot value for the 1,061 lots assuming no CFD reimbursements, as of August 31, 2009 and indicates a value of:

\$82,200,000

EIGHTY-TWO MILLION TWO HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS

REASONABLE EXPOSURE AND MARKETING TIME

Demand for residential development land in the subject market area fell significantly during 2007. However, towards the end of the year when land owners began reducing their inventories, buy and hold investors were contracting purchases far below previous price levels. During the past six months land sales activity has increased, but the primary buyers plan to hold onto the land for a three to four year time frame. These investors prefer land at least partially improved and are acquiring the sites for less than cost incurred to date. When the housing market improves, the lots they're holding will be further along in the development process and should be in higher demand. Considering the entitlement and development status of the subject project, as well as the city of Temecula deciding to undertake completion of Butterfield Stage Road, a major hurdle for the subject development, we feel that the property is sufficiently desirable to current investors "as is" within a 12-month time frame. This conclusion applies both retrospectively (exposure time) and prospectively (marketing time).

					2	TAB VALUE IRIPAUGH R	TABLE 17 VALUE - AS ET RORIPAUGH RANCK, PHASE II	11 3E 11							
Pig Gil Lawnong pig Gil Lawnong pig Gil Lawnong paul Ji Pig Gil paul J	A 	2 Mesacoooaaaaaaaa	4 5 4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	\$ NO 0 6 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		7. 2. 4. a.	24 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 1		다. 		A44 H	를 데마마마마미워마마마다 P요합통증 통		1. Managan 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15	<u> </u>
CEVENCES Land Acte 1s Proving Acte 1s	Action of the control	0 C O O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	ជ ជ ១ ១ ភ ជ ជ ជ ជ ជ ជ ជ ជ ជ ជ ជ ជ ជ ជ ជ	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a g	មសភព្ធ មានមានមាន មាន មាន មិន មិន មាន មាន មិន មិន មាន មាន មិន មិន មាន មាន មាន មិន មិន មាន មាន មិន មិន មាន មាន ម	9 P 8 0 0 D 9 S 9 C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 125, 192 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 1177.27 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	181.81.9 181	1,457,245 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	#47121 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 119, 17, 17, 18, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19	E 194 300 E 194
EPP DIRES As Diversioned Essal They libraries and Language Libraries Tanjuage Libr	Cardinary al, real, stri d. 2000 L. 20	00 846 MŽ (7,712,913	20,217,7 0 cm,00 0 cm,	7,712,043 48,835 6 0 5 48,905	24. 44. 44. 44. 44. 44. 44. 44. 44. 44.	4 505 124719 124719 101,441	7,712,865 42,729 42,129 15,712,120	THE SECOND SECON	1,112,104 1,112,112 1,12,112 1,12,112 1,13,112	24,337 24,337 27,723 1,777,14	20,186, 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	n b+'ti	12.2.5.1.1	Patenta 11,712,173 2,512,173 11,104,548
THE TENNESS OF THE STATE OF THE	M.S.	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PURELLE IN SECURE IN SECUR	17, 172, 173, 174, 174, 174, 174, 174, 174, 174, 174	SECTION HI	STARTAL	THE STATE OF THE S	CTACA	11520 BCCCCCCC	DECEMENT OF STREET	MILITARY D	11. F. S.	HINGERS	112.46211 0 0 10.462211 11.266211	11. 544 ET7
Lear Cabaldens Learning Caraldens Learning Light Diggs Teal Lean Dawn Teal Lean D	9	a 1913 a e a	0 to 2 to 0	92g 920	ខ្ ខាញ់ ដែកគ	0 mg = = =	മയവ് മൈദ	a m3 00 n	ପରୀପୁ ନଧ୍ୟ	വയാള് മാങ	บพนี ถอล		कल्प्यु स्वत	ою <u>я</u> свя	503 555
there is a smalley for the term of the ter	i treg mbereyte is 3 media v debele bli redaced perey labet da deset perey. I tquade print 1879 pillibili. Serb hizmad. v daa, un'l 371 stronik vesti.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11,500,000 10,100 10,100	(1), Mall refuse 11 mA 6 to 12	11.000 m	A K	111								į

					32	BULK BLUE TOPPED VALLE ROFIPAUGH RANCH, PHASE T	OPPED VAL	15E 11							
A LABORATA TATALA A LABORATA A LA	<u> </u>	\$ 0000000000	<u>\$</u> ~====================================	\$ ~0000000000	\$ managoogous	\$ ************************************	§ 8 mt 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ = 440,5000000	r - 4 - 4 a	7 wee 5 0000000	24-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-	1 3 desens 4 ans 2		1 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	基本等语言证据的关键
himmy Ann 34 Parang Ann 31 Lish Sain Pelina Lumahri (ng Said Lumahri (ng Said	T High			. a aa a <u>5</u>	90000	0 010 D 20	20225	53200		509	an ub 및 뉴	- mr# =	253	마취로 될 이	. # # <u>#</u>
CANTAILS* [Seed Albert Particular Anno 15 Particular Anno 15 Particular Anno 15 Particular Anno 15 Particular Anno 17 P	Tabetemp (178,704 178,70	*******	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		80006001	440604	14 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- H	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		8888844	0000000	Parked County a Section a Section of Parket Section of Parket Sect
Pharmy Ave 21 Pharmy Ave 22 Pharmy Ave 32 Pharmy Ave 32 Pharmy Ave 33 Pharmy Ave 34 Ph	######################################	-eaeaaa agg	3 11 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16					A STATE AND THE PROPERTY OF TH	MINES OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d			112,542,11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		127.57.5 127.57.5 127.57.5 127.57.5 127.57.5 127
Esp Dises Son Devolpment Esats ³ Payed Maspithand & Owmerd*	fibrotond 1 1 Apr.	0.0	9 0	p q	6 a	00		8 8	9 11	- 0	60	90	9	6 5	Printer of the Printe
dergeme & Bade Canal tent Enden Tarrel dentament America Africate Class Feet Challedown Libraria (Starra Establishen) Libraria (Starra Establishen)	A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	e a e «3	20,003	800 003	90007	១០១ ១ឌ្ន			100.001 0 0 105.211 216.9014	71,721 0 10. <u>10. 10.</u> 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.		10,034 0 0 11,034 11,134	17.03 27.03	89'81 EEEE	SECULIA DESCRIPTION OF SECULIAR PROPERTY OF SECURIAR PROPERTY OF SECURIA
ani Espersora		a	a	3	9	2	34,184	M41,471	SES PORTS	1364.385		1013,414	100	THE THE	מבתנונו
Cash Ther Solate The mang Last Down Last Downson Library Last to a last Library		e e ci g	n n 4-9	8 D CH G	aaag	89.63		17311, MG	12,124,74-1 0 0 112,130,131	84 P. C.	STATES OF STATES	= =	2 E	2 2	STEPATES.
PERSON VALUE IN	maem maem	3	1 11	9	, s	B a	14,14,253	LINES DILDOT.THE	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	27,00,065 \$7,00,065	CCT.0	224 MO.91	U. 617,422	24°C11°C71
Less Constant Less Drow Peri brown 1200 (Less Froi Lata Drow	n Kan	aed	e erg	5 ed <u>5</u>	a 6-3	n cell	P 015	0 013	e or g	n es 2	a e+ 5	a e-g	a mã	마의및	
Actigogo Babreza (Beg.ad Perhae) Acetaliboso (A Acetara Aceta Papera	A702.0 A10	900	a 5 5	a 19 a	000	900	a b ti	609			000	900	900	986	500
because the Levelse's Accepta, About a last per money and Anney of the per money and From a trial the recognise at least Anney of the period of the period Anney of the recognise at least Anney of the recognise at least Anney of the recognise at least the recognise Anney of the recognise Anney of the recognise at least the recognise at least the recognise Anney of the recognise at least the recognise at leas	a berg mehrsten it 13 o en debard in reberg i en end den der liperat frem de den der liperat frem de see der peles derskepeng.	Stanton Stanton Stanton M. The ambjes solver sed.	000 000 I	11	(2)	12 m. m. m. r.		4							

INVESTMENT VALUE

Per your request, we are providing an estimate of the investment value of the subject property to AmTrust Bank. Investment value is defined as the specific value of a property to a particular investor or class of investors based on individual investment requirements; [it is] distinguished from market value, which is impersonal and detached. (Source: The Appraisal of Real Estate, 12th Edition, published by the Appraisal Institute)

To estimate investment value, we have first estimated the implied future market value of the subject property by applying investors' yield and holding period expectations to the current market value from The Appraisal Report. The implied future market value is then brought back to a current investment value using a discount rate specified by AmTrust Bank.

Current investment criteria for two investors actively seeking residential land in the Antelope Valley are summarized below:

Contact	Anticipated Annual Yield	Holding Period
Investor 1	15%-20%	2-3 years
Investor 2	20%	4 years

The first investor represents a fund with \$100 million to spend on residential land in Southern California, with a commitment for an additional \$150 million. As shown in the table, this investor anticipates a 2-3 year holding period and seeks an annual return of 15% to 20%. The second investor is an individual who expects to generate returns on the order of 20% per year over a 4-year holding period.

Because the subject property is embroiled in pending litigation with significant remaining site development costs, we have assumed a holding period at the upper end of the range projected by the two investors, or 4 years. For the same reasons, we have assumed a 20% annual yield, also at the upper end of the range. Applying these assumptions to the "as is" market value estimate results in the following implied future market value:

Current "As Is Market Value	\$15,300,000
Annual Required Yield	20%
Holding Period (Years)	4
Future Value Factor	2.0736
Implied Future Market Value	\$31,726,080

To discount the implied future market value back to the present investment value to AmTrust Bank, we have used the Office of Thrift Supervision's Cost of Funds Index for the 1st quarter of 2008, 3.59%. To this, we have added the nominal property tax rate of 1.1%, plus 1.0% for insurance and miscellaneous expenses, to arrive at a "loaded" discount rate of 5.75% (rounded). The "loaded" discount rate does not account for the additional direct CFD carrying cost. Assuming the property is held for four years, the investor would incur an additional cost of \$12,082,668 (1,061 lots multiplied by \$2,847 per lot multiplied by four years). The future market value estimated above less the additional direct CFD carrying costs are discounted at the loaded rate for the projected 4-year holding period to arrive at the current investment value to AmTrust Bank:

Future Market Value Before Direct Carry	\$31,726,080
Less: Direct CFD Carrying Costs	(\$12,082,668)
Implied Future Market Value	\$19,643,412
AmTrust Bank Loaded Discount Rate (R)	5.75%
Holding Period (Years)	4
Present Value Factor	0.79961
AmTrust Bank Investment Value (6/6/08)	\$15,707,069
AmTrust Bank Investment Value Rounded	\$15,710,000

We have been asked to provide the Investment Value to AmTrust Bank assuming no CFD. We have utilized the same assumptions with the exception of the beginning "as is" value which is \$13,800,000 and there are no direct carrying costs. The investment value to AmTrust Bank assuming no CFD is estimated below:

AmTrust Bank Investment Value Rounded	\$25,865,000
AmTrust Bank Investment Value No CFD (6/6/08)	\$25,865,912
Present Value Factor	0.79961
Implied Future Market Value	\$32,348,160
Future Value Factor	2.0736
"As Is" Market Value	\$15,600,000

Michael Frauenthal & Associates, Inc.

Appraisal of Roripaugh Ranch, Phase II, Temecula, CA

ADDENDA

Page 79

Michael Frauenthal & Associates, Inc.

Appraisal of Roripaugh Ranch, Phase II, Temecula, CA

ADDENDA

ENGAGEMENT LETTER

TITLE REPORT

CONSTRUCTION BUDGETS

TRACT MAPS

OVERALL PROPERTY PURCHASE PRICE MATRIX (SCHEDULE 1)

OVERALL PROPERTY PURCHASE PRICE MATRIX (SCHEDULE 1-A)

LAND SALE DATA SHEETS

COMPARABLE PROJECT PHOTOGRAPHS

CERTIFICATION

PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS OF:

MICHAEL F. FRAUENTHAL, MAI

NICK WALKER, ASSOCIATE

Appraisal Request: Roripaugh Ranch

Page 1 of 2

Mike Frauenthal

From:

Tomak, Scott [STomak@amtrust.com]

Sent:

Friday, April 11, 2008 1:22 PM

To:

mikef@frauenthal.com

Subject: RE: Appraisal Request: Roripaugh Ranch

Thanks Mike.

Please proceed and let me know you have received this request. When complete please send the appraisal and invoice to me. Thanks

Scott Tomak AmTrust Bank Appraisal Department 1801 E. Ninth St. Cleveland, OH 44114 Phone: 216-588-4607 Fax: 216-588-8706

From: Mike Frauenthal [mailto:mikef@frauenthal.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2008 3:15 PM

To: Tomak, Scott

Subject: RE: Appraisal Request: Roripaugh Ranch

Scott, I bid \$17,500 for this assignment with a 6 week turnaround. As you are probably aware, residential land values in this area have decreased as a result of reduced demand for housing.

Michael Frauenthal Michael Frauenthal & Associates, Inc. 24662 Del Prado 2nd Floor Dana Point, CA 92629 Phone # (949) 496-1676 Fax # (949) 489-1745

From: Tomak, Scott [mailto:STomak@amtrust.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2008 9:40 AM

To: mikef@frauenthal.com

Subject: Appraisal Request: Roripaugh Ranch

Hi Mike.

Please provide a fee quote and turn time for a self-contained appraisal, employing all of the applicable approaches to value of the property described a Ronpaugh Ranch in Temecula, ČA. You previously appraised this property for us 3/8/2007.

4/11/2008



ORANGE COAST TITLE BUILDER SERVICES

1955 HUNTS LANE, 2ND FLOOR SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA 92408 (909) 825-8800

UPDATED

PRELIMINARY REPORT

ASHBY USA 470 B. HARRISON STREET

YOUR NO .:

TT 29353

CORONA, CA 92870

ORDER NO:

958-260-022

ATTENTION:

PRITE OLAH

R-192289-4

DATED: FEBRUARY 6, 2007

IN RESPONSE TO THE ABOVE REFERENCED APPLICATION FOR A POLICY OF ITTLE INSURANCE, ORANGE COAST TITLE BUILDER SERVICES HEREBY REPORTS THAT IT IS PREPARED TO ISSUE, OR CAUSE TO BE ISSUED, AS OF THE DATE HEREOF, A POLICY OR POLICIES OF TITLE INSURANCE DESCRIBING THE LAND AND THE ESTATE OR INTEREST THEREIN HEREINAFTER SET FORTH, INSURING AGAINST LOSS WHICH MAY BE SUSTAINED BY REASON OF ANY DEFECT, LIEN OR ENCLIMBRANCE NOT SHOWN OR REFERRED TO AS AN EXCEPTION BELOW OR NOT EXCLUDED FROM COVERAGE PURSUANT TO THE FRINTED SCHEDULES, CONDITIONS AND STIPULATIONS OF SAID POLICY FORMS.

THE PRINTED EXCEPTIONS AND EXCLUSIONS FROM THE COVERAGE OF SAID POLICY OR POLICIES ARE SET FORTH IN EXHIBIT A ATTACHED. COPIES OF THE POLICY FORMS SHOULD BE READ. THEY ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE OFFICE, WHICH ISSUED THIS REPORT.

PLEASE READ THE EXCEPTIONS SHOWN OR REFERRED TO BELOW AND THE EXCEPTIONS AND EXCLUSIONS SET FORTH IN EXHIBIT A OF THIS REPORT CAREFULLY. THE EXCEPTIONS AND EXCLUSIONS ARE MEANT TO PROVIDE YOU WITH NOTICE OF MATTERS, WHICH ARE NOT COVERED UNDER THE TERMS OF THE TITLE INSURANCE POLICY AND SHOULD BE CAREFULLY CONSIDERED.

IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THIS FRELIMINARY REPORT IS NOT A WRITTEN REPRESENTATION AS TO THE CONDITION OF TITLE AND MAY NOT LIST ALL LIENS, DEFECTS, AND ENCUMBRANCES AFFECTING TITLE TO THE LAND.

THIS REPORT (AND ANY SUPPLEMENTS OR AMENDMENTS HERETO) IS ISSUED SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF FACILITATING THE ISSUANCE OF A POLICY OF TITLE INSURANCE AND NO LIABILITY IS ASSUMED HEREBY. IF IT IS DESIRED THAT LIABILITY BE ASSUMED PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF A POLICY OF TITLE INSURANCE, A BINDER OR COMMITMENT SHOULD BE REQUESTED.

THE POLICY OR POLICIES OF ITILE INSURANCE CONTEMPLATED BY THIS REPORT WILL BE ISSUED BY FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY.

DATED AS OF JANUARY 30, 2007 AT 7:30 A.M.

HELEN JOHNSON TITLE OFFICER

HELENIGOCITILE.COM FAX (909) 370-2132

Allen Johnson

THE FORM OF POLICY OF TITLE INSURANCE CONTEMPLATED BY THIS REPORT IS:

"A SUBDIVISION GUARANTEE"

SCHEDULE "A"

THE ESTATE OR INTEREST IN THE LAND HEREINAFTER DESCRIBED OR REFERRED TO COVERED BY THIS REPORT IS:

A FEE

TITLE TO SAID ESTATE OR INTEREST AT THE DATE HEREOF IS VESTED IN:

ASHBY USA, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

THE LAND REFERRED TO IN THIS REPORT IS SITUATED IN THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT "A"

-2-

SCHEDULE "B"

AT THE DATE HEREOF EXCEPTION TO COVERAGE IN ADDITION TO THE PRINTED EXCEPTIONS AND EXCLUSION CONTAINED IN SAID POLICY FORM WOULD BE AS FOLLOWS:

- GENERAL AND SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2007-2008, INCLUDING ANY ASSESSMENTS Α. COLLECTED WITH TAXES. A LIEN NOT YET PAYABLE.
- SECOND INSTALLMENT GENERAL AND SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2006-2007, INCLUDING В. ANY ASSESSMENTS COLLECTED WITH TAXES.

1ST INSTALLMENT

\$1,241,496.60 PAID

2ND INSTALLMENT

\$1,241,496.60 OPEN \$124,169.66 (AFTER 4-10)

PHNALTY CODE AREA

013-116

PARCEL NO.

964-180-013-4

EXEMPTION

NONE

AN INSTRUMENT, UPON THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED THEREIN ENTITLED:

PROPOSED BOUNDARIES OF TEMECULA PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY

COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT NO. 03-02 (RORIPAUGH RANCH) RIVERSIDE

COUNTY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DATED:

AUGUST 24, 2004

EXECUTED BY

AND BETWEEN:

TEMECULA PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY

RECORDED:

AUGUST 31, 2004 IN BOOK 58 PAGE 51 OF MAPS OF ASSESSMENT AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICTS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER IN THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND AS

INSTRUMENT NO. 2004-0691181, OFFICIAL RECORDS

SPECIAL TAX FOR COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT NO. 03-02, AS FOLLOWS: D.

TYPE OF IMPROVEMENT: STREETS

NOTICE OF SPECIAL TAX

LIEN RECORDED:

IANUARY 14, 2005, AS INSTRUMENT NO. 0039138, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

SAID SPECIAL TAX IS COLLECTED WITH TAXES.

FURTHER INFORMATION MAY BE OBTAINED BY CONTACTING: THE TREASURER OF THE TEMECULA FUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY, TEMECULA PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY, 43200 BUSINESS PARK DRIVE, TEMECULA, CA 92590, TELEPHONE NUMBER (909) 694-6430

- THE LIEN OF SUPPLEMENTAL TAXES, IF ANY, ASSESSED FURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 75, B. ET SEQ. OF THE REVENUE AND TAXATION CODE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
- THE THRMS, COVENANTS AND PROVISIONS OF THAT CERTAIN DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, EXECUTED I) BY AND BETWEEN CITY OF TEMECULA AND ASHBY USA, LLC, RECORDED JANUARY 9, 2003, AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2003-018567, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS, AND THE EFFECT OF ANY FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH SAME

AN INSTRUMENT DECLARING A MODIFICATION THERBOF WAS RECORDED NOVEMBER 3, 2004 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2004-0874441, OFFICIAL RECORDS.

- 3 -

COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS IN AN INSTRUMENT RECORDED MAY 15, 2003 AS 21 INSTRUMENT NO. 2003-351750, OFFICIAL RECORDS, WHICH PROVIDE THAT A VIOLATION THEREOF SHALL NOT DEFEAT OR RENDER INVALID THE LIEN OF ANY MORTGAGE OR DEED OF TRUST MADE IN GOOD FAITH AND FOR VALUE, BUT OMITTING ANY COVENANTS OR RESTRICTIONS, IF ANY, BASED UPON RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, SEX, HANDICAP, FAMILIAL STATUS, OR NATIONAL ORIGIN UNLESS AND ONLY TO THE EXTENT THAT SAID COVENANT (A) IS EXEMPT UNDER CHAPTER 42, SECTION 3607 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE OR (B) RELATES TO HANDICAP BUT DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE AGAINST HANDICAPPED PERSONS.

"NOTE: SECTION 12956.1 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING: IF THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS ANY RESTRICTION BASED ON RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, SEX, FAMILIAL STATUS, MARITAL STATUS, DISABILITY, NATIONAL ORIGIN, OR ANCESTRY, THAT RESTRICTION VIOLATES STATE AND FEDERAL PAIR HOUSING LAWS AND IS VOID, AND MAY BE REMOVED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12956.1 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE. LAWFUL RESTRICTION UNDER STATE AND FEDERAL LAW ON THE AGE OF OCCUPANTS IN SENIOR HOUSING FOR OLDER PERSONS SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUED AS RESTRICTION BASED ON FAMILIAL STATUS."

AN INSTRUMENT, UPON THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED THEREIN: 3)

ENTITLED:

LICENSE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF TEMECULA AND ASHBY USA.

LLC FOR ACCESS TO FIRE STATION PROPERTY

DATED:

DECEMBER 29, 2004

EXECUTED BY

AND BETWEEN:

CITY OF TEMECULA, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ASHBY USA, LLC, A

CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

RECORDED-

APRIL 28, 2005 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2005-0337000, OFFICIAL RECORDS

- 4) THE EFFECT OF A RECORD OF SURVEY ON: FILE IN BOOK 61, PAGE 10 AND IN BOOK 87, PAGE 28, BOTH OF RECORDS OF SURVEY, RECORDS OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.
- A DEED OF TRUST TO SECURE AN INDEBTEDNESS OF 5)

AMOUNT:

S(NOT SET OUT)

TRUSTOR:

ASHBY USA, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ORANGE COAST TITLE COMPANY, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION

TRUSTEE: BENEFICIARY:

GRC DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P., A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

DATED:

OCTOBER 5, 2001

RECORDED:

OCTOBER 9, 2001 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 01-488798 AND RE-RECORDED JANUARY 4, 2002, AS

INSTRUMENT NO. 02-007509, BOTH OF OFFICIAL RECORDS

AN AGREEMENT MODIFYING SAID DEED OF TRUST WAS RECORDED MAY 30, 2003 AS DOCUMENT NO. 2003-392072, OFFICIAL RECORDS

DATED:

MAY 29, 2003.

EXECUTED BY:

ASHBY USA, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY AND GRC

DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P., A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP.

THE LIEN OR CHARGE OF SAID DEED OF TRUST WAS SUBORDINATED TO THE LIEN OR CHARGE OF THE DEED OF TRUST RECORDED NOVEMBER 13, 2003 AS INSTRUMENT NUMBER 2003-895581 OFFICIAL RECORDS.

BY AGREEMENT

RECORDED:

NOVEMBER 13, 2003 AS DOCUMENT NO. 2003-895579, OFFICIAL RECORDS.

SAID MATTER AFFECTS THE HEREIN DESCRIBED AND OTHER LAND.

A DEED OF TRUST TO SECURE AN INDEBTEDNESS OF

AMOUNT: TRUSTOR: \$4,250,000.00

ASHBY USA, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

TRUSTEE:

ORANGE COAST TITLE COMPANY

BENEFICIARY:

USA INVESTMENT PARTNERS, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

DATED:

MAY 1, 2001

RECORDED:

MARCH 21, 2002 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 02-143547, OFFICIAL RECORDS

THE LIEN OR CHARGE OF SAID DEED OF TRUST WAS SUBORDINATED TO THE LIEN OR CHARGE OF THE DEED OF TRUST RECORDED NOVEMBER 13, 2003 AS INSTRUMENT NUMBER 2003-895581 OFFICIAL RECORDS.

BY AGREEMENT

RECORDED:

NOVEMBER 13, 2003 AS DOCUMENT NO. 2003-895580, OFFICIAL RECORDS.

- 4 -

SAID MATTER AFFECTS THE HEREIN DESCRIBED LAND AND OTHER LAND.

- THE FACT THAT SAID LAND IS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE SOUTHWEST AREA ROAD AND BRIDGE DISTRICT. 7) AS DISCLOSED BY DOCUMENT RECORDED JULY 12, 2002, AS INSTRUMENT NO. 02-382638, OFFICIAL RECORDS.
- THE TERMS, COVENANTS AND PROVISIONS OF THAT CERTAIN DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, EXECUTED BY AND 8) BETWEEN CITY OF TEMECULA AND ASHBY USA, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, RECORDED IANUARY 9, 2003, AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2003-018567, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS, AND THE EFFECT OF ANY FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH SAME.
- THE FACT THAT SAID LAND IS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF CITY OF TEMECULA, TEMECULA 9} COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT COUNTY SERVICE AREA 132 RIVERSIDE COUNTY WASTE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, DESIGNATED AS LAFCO 2001-22-3, AS DISCLOSED BY DOCUMENT RECORDED FEBRUARY 2, 2003, AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2003-088838, OFFICIAL RECORDS.
- A PERFETUAL AIR OR FLIGHT EASEMENT SOMETIMES REFERRED TO AS AVIGATION RIGHTS IN AND TO THE AIR SPACE ABOVE THOSE PORTIONS OF PARTICULAR PLANES OR IMAGENARY SURFACES THAT OVERLIE SAID LAND AND OTHER LAND, AS PROVIDED IN AN INSTRUMENT RECORDED APRIL 28, 2003, AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2003-299715, OFFICIAL RECORDS.
- COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS IN AN INSTRUMENT RECORDED MAY 15, 2003 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2003-351750, OFFICIAL RECORDS, WHICH PROVIDE THAT A VIOLATION THEREOF SHALL. NOT DEFEAT OR RENDER INVALID THE LIEN OF ANY MORTGAGE OR DEED OF TRUST MADE IN GOOD FAITH AND FOR VALUE, BUT OMITTING ANY COVENANTS OR RESTRICTIONS, IF ANY, BASED UPON RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, SEX, HANDICAP, FAMILIAL STATUS, OR NATIONAL ORIGIN UNLESS AND ONLY TO THE EXTENT THAT SAID COVENANT (A) IS EXEMPT UNDER CHAPTER 42, SECTION 3607 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE OR (B) RELATES TO HANDICAP BUT DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE AGAINST HANDICAPPED PERSONS.

"NOTE: SECTION 12956.1 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING: IF THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS ANY RESTRICTION BASED ON RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, SEX, FAMILIAL STATUS, MARITAL STATUS, DISABILITY, NATIONAL ORIGIN, OR ANCESTRY, THAT RESTRICTION VIOLATES STATE AND FEDERAL FAIR HOUSING LAWS AND IS VOID, AND MAY BE REMOVED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12955.1 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE. LAWFUL RESTRICTION UNDER STATE AND FEDERAL LAW ON THE AGE OF OCCUPANTS IN SENIOR HOUSING FOR OLDER PERSONS SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUED AS RESTRICTION BASED ON FAMILIAL STATUS.

AN INSTRUMENT, UPON THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED THEREIN

ENTITLED:

AGREEMENT TO DEFER COMPLETION OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL UNTIL

AFTER RECORDATION OF FINAL MAP FOR TRACT NO. 2935-2 (RORIPAUGH .

RANCH SPECIFIC PLAN) **SEPTEMBER 21, 2003**

DATED:

EXECUTED BY

AND BETWEEN:

THE CITY OF TEMECULA, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ASHBY USA, LLC,

A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

RECORDED:

SEPTEMBER 24, 2003 AS DOCUMENT NO. 2003-744646, OFFICIAL RECORDS

AN INSTRUMENT, UPON THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED THEREIN

ENTITLED:

FIRST OPERATING MEMORANDUM TO THE RECORDED DEVELOPMENT

AGREEMENT BETWEEN CITY OF TEMECULA AND ASHBY USA, LLC

(RORIPAUGH RANCH PROJECT)

DATED:

OCTOBER 21, 2004

EXECUTED BY

AND BETWEEN:

CITY OF TEMECULA, A CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ASHBY

USA, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

RECORDED:

NOVEMBER 3, 2004 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2004-874441, OFFICIAL RECORDS

AN INSTRUMENT, UPON THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED THEREIN

ENITTLED:

LICENSE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF TEMECULA AND ASHBY USA

LLC FOR ACCESS TO FIRE STATION PROPERTY

DATED:

DECEMBER 29, 2004

EXECUTED BY AND BETWEEN:

CITY OF TEMECULA, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ASHBY USA, LLC, A

CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

RECORDED:

APRIL 28, 2005 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2005-0337000, OFFICIAL RECORDS

-5-

AN INSTRUMENT, UPON THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED THEREIN 15)

ENTITLED: RESOLUTION NO. 1822 A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF

EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT ANNEXING A CERTAIN PARCEL OF LAND TO IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. U-25 OF BASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER

DISTRICT

DATED:

SEPTEMBER 24: 2003

EXECUTED BY AND BETWEEN:

ASHBY USA, LLC (PETITIONER), THE OWNER OF THE INVOLVED PARCEL OF

LAND, HAS FILED WITH THE SECRETARY OF EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER

DISTRICT

RECORDED:

OCTOBER 6, 2003 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2003-785316, OFFICIAL RECORDS

AN INSTRUMENT, UPON THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED THEREIN

ENTITLED:

RESOLUTION NO. 3823 A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT ANNEXING A CERTAIN PARCEL OF LAND TO IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. U-26 OF EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER

DISTRICT.

DATED: EXECUTED BY SEPTEMBER 24, 2003

AND BETWEEN:

ASHBY USA, LLC (PETITIONER), THE OWNER OF THE INVOLVED PARCEL OF LAND, HAS FILED WITH THE SECRETARY OF EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER

DISTRICT

RECORDED:

OCTOBER 6, 2003 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2003-785317, OFFICIAL RECORDS

AN INSTRUMENT, UFON THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED THEREIN

ENTITLED:

MEMORANDUM OF OPTION AGREEMENT RORIPAUGH RANCH - 1,129 LOTS / 314 ACRES

DATED:

AUGUST 2, 2005

EXECUTED BY

AND BETWEEN:

ASHBY USA, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY AND KE HOME

COASTAL INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION

RECORDED:

AUGUST 29, 2005 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2005-0707879 AND RE-RECORDED DECEMBER 15, 2006, AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2006-0922259, BOTH OF OFFICIAL

RECORDS

SAID MATTER AFFECTS THE HEREIN DESCRIBED LAND AND OTHER LAND.

AN INSTRUMENT, UPON THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED THEREIN

ENTITLED:

MEMORANDUM OF AMENDED AND RESTATED OPTION AGREEMENT

RORIPAUGH RANCH · ·

DATED:

DECEMBER 8, 2006

EXECUTED BY

AND BETWEEN:

ASHBY USA, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY AND KB HOME

COASTAL INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION

RECORDED:

DECEMBER 15, 2006 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2006-0922260, OFFICIAL RECORDS

SAID MATTER AFFECTS LOTS I THROUGH 4 AND 6 THROUGH 11.

A DEED OF TRUST TO SECURE AN INDEBTEDNESS OF

AMOUNT:

\$6,000,000.00

TRUSTOR: · ASHBY USA, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

TRUSTEE:

FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE

BENEFICIARY:

OHIO SAVINGS BANK, A FEDERAL SAVINGS BANK

DATED:

AUGUST 29, 2005

RECORDED:

SEPTEMBER 2, 2005 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2005-0730193, OFFICIAL RECORDS.

SAID MATTER AFFECTS THE HEREIN DESCRIBED LAND AND OTHER LAND.

AN AGREEMENT MODIFYING SAID DEED OF TRUST WAS RECORDED MARCH 3, 2006 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2006-0157604, OFFICIAL RECORDS.

DATED:

FEBRUARY 14, 2006.

EXECUTED BY:

ASHBY USA, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY AND OHIO

SAVINGS BANK, A FEDERAL SAVINGS BANK.

-6-